1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
2	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN		
3			
4	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)		
5	Plaintiff,) Case No. CR 11-135		
6) Milwaukee, Wisconsin vs.		
7) August 15, 2012 ARVIND AHUJA, 9:00 a.m.		
8	Defendant.) PAGES 1-174		
9			
10	TRANSCRIPT OF JURY TRIAL		
11	BEFORE THE HONORABLE CHARLES N. CLEVERT, JR. UNITED STATES CHIEF DISTRICT JUDGE, AND A JURY		
12	APPEARANCES:		
13	For the Plaintiff		
14	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA: Office of the US Attorney By: TRACY M. JOHNSON		
15	517 E Wisconsin Ave - Rm. 530 Milwaukee, WI 53202		
16	Ph: 414-297-1580 Fax: 414-297-4394		
17	tracy.johnson@usdoj.gov)		
18	United States Department of Justice DC		
19	By: JOHN E. SULLIVAN MELISSA S. SISKIND		
20	Tax Division - Ben Franklin Station - PO Box 972		
21	Washington, DC 20044 Ph: 202-514-5196		
22	Fax: 202-616-1786 john.e.sullivan@usdoj.gov		
23	melissa.s.siskind@usdoj.gov		
24	U.S. Official Reporter: JOHN T. SCHINDHELM, RMR, CRR, johns54@sbcglobal.net		
25	Proceedings recorded by computerized stenography, transcript produced by computer aided transcription.		

1	APPEARANCES CONT'D:	
2	ARVIND AHUJA:	Friebert, Finerty & St. John SC By: ROBERT H. FRIEBERT SHANNON A. ALLEN
4		Two Plaza East - Ste 1250 - 330 E Kilbourn Ave
5		Milwaukee , WI 53202 Ph: 414-271-0130 Fax: 414-272-8191
6		rhf@ffsj.com saa@ffsj.com
7		Winston & Strawn LLP
8		By: DAN K. WEBB THOMAS L. KIRSCH II
9		35 W Wacker Dr Chicago , IL 60601-9703
10		Ph: 312-558-5600 Fax: 312-558-5700
11		<pre>dwebb@winston.com tkirsch@winston.com</pre>
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1 P R O C E E D I N G S (9:04 a.m.) 2 THE CLERK: Case No. 2011-CR-135, United States of 3 America vs. Arvind Ahuja. This matter is before the Court for a 4 jury trial. May we have the appearances, please? 5 MS. JOHNSON: Good morning. On behalf of the 6 government, Tracy Johnson, Attorney Melissa Siskind, Attorney 7 John Sullivan, and IRS Special Agent Geoffrey Cook. 8 Good morning, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Good morning to each of you. 10 MR. WEBB: Good morning, Your Honor. Dan Webb on 11 behalf of the defendant, Arvind Ahuja, who is in the courtroom 12 standing. Tom Kirsch and Shannon Allen are also present, Your Honor. 13 14 THE COURT: Good morning, all. 15 IN UNISON: Good morning. 16 THE COURT: I'm in receipt of a motion in limine filed 17 by the defendant and docketed at Number 144. Is the government 18 in receipt of the same? 19 MS. SISKIND: Yes, Your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Is the government prepared to respond to 21 the motion? 22 MS. SISKIND: Yes, Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: All right, proceed.

first item of evidence that the defense is objecting to will

MS. SISKIND: First I would note, Your Honor, the

24

25

come from the testimony of Mark Miller regarding the overall financial picture of the defendant, the types of investments he made, and his overall financial success as an investor. The defense has put this fact in issue. The jury questionnaire that they proposed and the Court accepted asked the question about — a question about the defendant earning \$65 million during the years at issue. They have put his financial success at issue with that very question. And in reviewing the slides they plan on using for their opening today, they're talking about, among other things, how between 2006 and 2009 Dr. Ahuja received between approximately 18 to 32 separate 1099 and similar tax-related forms.

If they're going to argue that there's some relevance to him receiving a lot of 1099s, well, then the jury should know what those 1099s were, where did that income come from, how much the defendant was earning in interest over these years.

The other thing they're objecting to is a statement from a application to open a investment in oil and gas drilling. I would first note that they're first objecting to the relevance of this 10 minutes before we walked into the courtroom this morning, even though they've had this document for probably close to a year.

But nonetheless, both Mr. Miller's testimony as to the defendant's investing and his sophistication as an investor and statements the defendant made on the Texas energy document,

which is a document which he represents to this investment company that he handles his own investments, both of those go directly to willfulness in this case.

And multiple circuits have held that the background and experience of a defendant, particularly when it comes to financial matters, is highly probative of willfulness. And there are cases I cite to the Court from the Tenth, Fifth, Second, Eighth, and Fourth Circuits, all addressing circumstances in which courts have held that things like a defendant's experience as an entrepreneur, his experience with taxes, his experience with investing, are all probative of willfulness. Because the government needs to prove that when the defendant filed false tax returns, it was willful; that he knew that he was required to report that income; and that he failed to do so.

And in order to do that, one piece of evidence the government intends to offer is that he was a savvy investor, familiar with the markets, kept up on financial affairs, and therefore knew that he was required to report the interest income from the CDs that he had in India.

THE COURT: Would you please next address one of the several items mentioned on page 1 of the defendant's motion, and in particular Mr. Miller's impressions of Mr. Ahuja's -- or Dr. Ahuja's level of sophistication in terms of his investment activities. Is it your intention to offer such impression

testimony?

MS. SISKIND: Your Honor, we would submit it's proper lay opinion testimony in this case. Mr. Miller, unlike the members of the jury, had an opportunity to sit across the table from the defendant on multiple occasions and talk about his finances. Mr. Miller is an experienced certified public accountant and should be permitted to -- using that experience and using his interactions with the defendant -- testify as to the defendant's overall level of financial savvy and sophistication in the market.

THE COURT: All right. The defense may be heard.

MR. WEBB: Thank you very much, Your Honor. And as Your Honor knows, this case actually involves a relatively limited set of facts involving CDs at HSBC and interest income that did not get reported on Dr. Ahuja's tax returns.

We don't object. We do not object to the fact, general evidence that Dr. Ahuja did acquire a significant amount of wealth from the practice of medicine. We're not objecting to that; that's built into the case. We don't object to the fact that Dr. Ahuja had investments beyond HSBC. We don't object to that.

What we object to is the effort by the government to offer specific evidence about the amount of trading that he engaged in in the stock market; about how much money he invested in the stock market; what his trading activities were in the

stock market; and those kind of details.

If the only evidence the government is going to offer is general evidence from Mr. Miller about the fact that Dr. Ahuja had a significant number of investments and a variety of different types of instruments, we don't object to that.

In fact, counsel mentions 1099 forms. There's no question that part of our defense is that Dr. Ahuja's and the people that gathered his tax information, he got so many 1099s and K-1's that there's no way that he would have known if one was missing. And so I do intend to cross-examine Mr. Miller about that.

So the fact that Dr. Ahuja had other investments, we're not objecting to that. What we're objecting to is what we set forth on page 1 is the amount of trading he engaged in the stock market and the fact that he earned a lot of money from trading in the stock market. We do object to that evidence coming in.

As far as Mr. Miller's testimony as a lay witness about the sophistication of Dr. Ahuja, based on the grand jury testimony of Mr. Miller it does not appear that the government has the foundation to lay for that. That requires a foundation that has to be laid before you can ask that question. And based on the grand jury testimony that we cite on page 2 of our brief, Mr. Miller indicates he doesn't feel that he has that type of knowledge about Dr. Ahuja's trading activities to reach a

1

2

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

conclusion about sophistication, and that's why we object to that.

THE COURT: Well, as it stands right now, unless the government does establish a foundation for asking for a lay opinion, the testimony will be barred. And at this point I can't make any other ruling with regard to a question that may be asked of Mr. Miller respecting his opinion. I will not find at this stage that the government cannot under any circumstance inquire of Mr. Miller concerning his evaluation of the defendant's level of sophistication respecting the stock market or business transactions because there just isn't enough information before the Court to make a ruling that would be sweeping in its nature.

Now, with regard to the second aspect of your motion in limine, that is whether or not the government can offer Exhibit Number 58, do you wish to be heard a little bit further?

MR. WEBB: On which issue, Your Honor? I'm sorry.

THE COURT: Exhibit 58, the Texas Energy Holdings exhibit, particularly in light of what the government has noted as your defense and what you acknowledge in your comments.

Well, there's no question that a major part MR. WEBB: of our defense is lack of willfulness, and we don't deny that. But this agreement has no connection at all to the events and transactions in this case. And that's why I don't think it should be admissible because it doesn't relate to the events in

this case.

Yes.

THE COURT: Well, first of all, within the relevant period of time. It's dated February 9th, 2009, correct?

MR. WEBB: I think it's dated February 16th, 2009.

THE COURT: 16th, 2009. I stand corrected.

MR. WEBB: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And it does have representations by your client respecting his background; is that also true?

MR. WEBB: That is correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And I see in particular on page 3 of 12, Bates Number DOJ-TXE-000010 under Item 4, a statement that I further represent and warrant that I have the capacity to evaluate the merits and risks of the prospective investment in interest and that such investment is not disproportionate to my income or available in liquid funds; that I further have the capacity to protect my interests in connection with the purchase and bear the economic risks of such investment for an indefinite period. The following is a description of any experience in financial and business matters required.

It goes on to say in handwriting:

"Neurosurgeon/occupation, personally run most of my own investments. Have numerous oil, gas programs in place."

That appears to me to be very relevant to issues in this case, and therefore the motion is denied with respect to

Exhibit Number 58.

Unless there's something else respecting preliminary matters, we will take a short break for several reasons. One is, I need to go to the jury assembly room where I will hand out to the jurors the questionnaires that they sent in so that they can review them quickly before they come to the courtroom. One of the questions that will be asked this morning is whether or not any of the responses that the jurors gave when submitting their questionnaires needs to be altered.

You should also know that we have additional jurors who have shown up today. They were part of the original pool but did not submit questionnaires. We will accept those questionnaires this morning but we will not include those jurors in the pool that will come down initially. If we need these additional jurors then we will call them to the courtroom a little later.

However, regardless, I will address the entire panel to let them know that we are preparing for their arrival in the courtroom. They are not to share with their fellow jurors any matters touching on this case, including what they have said in their questionnaires.

Additionally, there is a juror who called in to say she had childcare issues. I believe she is here today with her children. So I would ask the clerk to give you the number, if she can; if not, then we will get that number to you and you can

tell us at the outset what your view is with respect to that 1 2 particular juror. All right? 3 MR. WEBB: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Sullivan? 4 MR. SULLIVAN: We do have the 404(b) notice and that 5 6 will affect what we say in our opening statement. But I think 7 we can probably address that a little later on before we start. 8 THE COURT: I will address it after returning. I do 9 want to get this upstairs to the jury pool so they'll have 10 sufficient time to look over the materials and prepare to come 11 to the courtroom. So we will take a very short break. 12 THE BAILIFF: All rise. 13 (Recess taken at 9:18 a.m., until 9:51 a.m.) 14 THE COURT: Be seated, please. 15 In accordance with our prior discussions, I understand 16 there is a motion the government wishes to make at this time. 17 MR. SULLIVAN: The government requests leave to move 18 to dismiss the indictment -- I mean to dismiss count one of the 19 indictment, Your Honor. 20 THE COURT: The superseding indictment? 21 MR. SULLIVAN: The superseding indictment, yes, Your 22 Honor. 23 THE COURT: I gather there would not be any objection 24 to the same? 25 MR. WEBB: There is no objection.

1 THE COURT: Very well. The Court will grant the 2 government's -- is granting the government's motion for leave to 3 dismiss count one of the superseding indictment in this case. 4 You may proceed accordingly. 5 With that, is there anything else we need to address 6 before the jury comes down? 7 MR. WEBB: Your Honor, if there -- before they walk 8 in, can we get the list? When do we get the list? 9 THE COURT: The list is being prepared as we speak. 10 MR. WEBB: Thank you. 11 THE COURT: So they will be coming down.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You will note also that you have the additional questionnaires. We will not get -- likely get to those potential jurors if those above them with numbers of 50 or lower remain qualified to proceed.

But inasmuch as the jurors have not yet arrived, is there any person on the list -- any person whose questionnaire you have now seen for the first time a person you believe should be stricken for cause?

> MR. WEBB: I don't believe so. Mr. Kirsch, if you --MR. KIRSCH: Correct.

The answer is no. MR. WEBB:

MS. SISKIND: Not from the government, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. And during the individual questioning, as I said, we will not get to those 50 -- above 50 unless there is a clear need to do so.

1 Is there any other procedural issue that we need to 2 address? 3 MR. WEBB: Just one brief logistical. Because we have 4 multiple people on our side, when we get the list would the --5 would we be able to get three or four copies of the list? 6 THE CLERK: Yes. 7 MR. WEBB: Thank you. Thank you. 8 THE COURT: Does the government want more than one 9 copy? 10 MS. SISKIND: Yes, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: All right. Then we will have to take a 12 sufficient break so you -- so we can get the list copied. 13 All right, we will remain informal until the panel 14 arrives and you have had a chance to receive and review the 15 list. 16 THE CLERK: All rise. 17 (Recess taken at 9:54 a.m., until 10:12 a.m.) 18 THE CLERK: Juror No. 1's children will go right into 19 the jury room. You will have a seat there and your children 20 will go to the left. 21 (Jury panel in at 10:12 a.m.) 22 THE CLERK: You can be seated. 23 (Brief pause.) 24 THE BAILIFF: All rise. Please be seated. 25 THE COURT: Case No. 11-CR-135, United States of

America vs. Arvind Ahuja.

Please state your appearances for the record.

MR. SULLIVAN: John Sullivan, Melissa Siskind, Tracy Johnson, and Geoffrey Cook for the United States of America.

MR. WEBB: Your Honor and members of the jury, Dan Webb, and this is the defendant, Arvind Ahuja, Dr. Arvind Ahuja. And we have Tom Kirsch and Shannon Allen at our table as counsel for Dr. Ahuja.

THE COURT: Good morning to all.

JURY VOIR DIRE

THE COURT: Members of the jury panel, we are at this stage prepared to select a jury to hear the case that is now before the Court and soon will be before you who remain as jurors.

As I mentioned briefly upstairs in the jury assembly room, we must go through the jury selection process, and in doing so it is imperative that we have candid answers from each of you. You are about to be placed under oath, and your sworn statements will form a basis for a decision as to who will remain as jurors in this case.

At this point it is our belief that this case can be completed within the next week and that we will from time to time have interruptions in the trial for various things that remain on the court's calendar and other logistical matters which should not be of concern to you.

So as we proceed, I do ask that you give this matter your undivided attention. And also, if you have an electronic device please check it now and make sure it is off. If a device goes off during these proceedings, our very friendly bailiff will ask you to hand in your device and it will be retained because we cannot have disturbances which oftentimes will show up through our microphone system. And we don't want the system to buzz or problems to arise.

So would the jury pool please stand and take the oath.

THE CLERK: Please raise your right hand.

(Jury panel sworn.)

THE CLERK: Please be seated.

THE COURT: We will begin with Juror No. 1, and that juror is asked to retire to the jury room along with the parties.

(Trial adjourned to jury room.)

THE COURT: Before any questioning of Juror No. 1, I do note that during the course of voir dire the parties are to use the jury numbers of the individual jurors.

Also if at some point an individual's name is utilized inadvertently, the Court is ruling that that name will be redacted from the transcript of the proceedings in this case.

Is there anyone who feels that that is inappropriate?

MS. SISKIND: No, Your Honor.

MR. WEBB: No.

MR. WE

1 THE COURT: Very well. We'll proceed accordingly. 2 Do the parties have the questionnaire for Juror No. 1? 3 MR. WEBB: Yes, Your Honor. 4 THE COURT: Now, I know, Juror No. 1, that today you 5 have with you a few traveling companions. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1: 6 I do. 7 THE COURT: Can you tell us the situation with respect 8 to your children? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1: I had a baby-sitter lined up 10 for Monday. Sort of looking down the week not knowing whether I 11 would be a juror or not, could not find anybody for today. 12 have a lot of college sitters that have all gone back to school. 13 I have high school sitters who have started sports. My husband 14 is an attorney, solo practitioner, so it's not feasible for him 15 to stay home. 16 THE COURT: Are you able to secure anyone for the 17 balance of the week if you are selected as a juror in this case? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1: I know Friday and Monday I 19 have been unable to find anybody. 20 THE COURT: All right. What arrangements do you make 21 ordinarily when you have childcare issues? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1: To be honest, I don't 23 usually have sitters an entire day. I may have one for a couple 24 hours in the afternoon. So it's just hard to find somebody to

25

do it all day.

1 THE COURT: So ordinarily you are with the children 2 during some portions of the day; is that correct? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1: Every day. THE COURT: What types of hours do you ordinarily work 4 5 when you're employed outside the home? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1: I haven't been employed 7 outside the home since shortly after my four-year-old was born, 8 but I have taken a part-time job starting the 27th. 9 THE COURT: And what hours will you work starting the 10 27th of August of this year? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1: 8:00 to 11:00. My youngest 12 is in school and I'm at home as I need to. 13 THE COURT: And the youngest would be in four-year 14 kindergarten? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1: Correct, half days. 16 THE COURT: Now, you responded to a questionnaire in 17 this case; is that correct? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1: Yes. 19 THE COURT: Have you reviewed that questionnaire 20 today? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1: I have. 22 THE COURT: Would any of your responses to the matters 23 in the questionnaire be different today than they were at the 24 time you filled out the questionnaire initially? 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1: The only one would be

regarding childcare. I think the question is do you want to serve on this jury, which I honestly would like to do, but -- I don't know what to do with my kids otherwise.

THE COURT: All right. The parties are free to ask questions of the juror at this time. We'll start with the government.

MR. SULLIVAN: I note, Juror No. 1, that you indicated that you believe that the tax code should be rewritten. Given that this case involves a criminal -- criminal tax laws and the obligation of citizens to report income, is there anything about your view on the tax code that would not -- that would prevent you from being fair and impartial to the government specifically?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1: Not necessarily. I have my own feelings about how much tax I pay and people I know pay and don't agree with that. But to the extent that that would make me right off the bat say one way or the other, I don't think so.

MR. SULLIVAN: Could you put that to the side? If you truly believe that the tax breaks were too high, could you put that aside and judge this case just based on the evidence presented in the courtroom and the law as instructed by Judge Clevert, even though you hold the view that the tax rates might be too high? Would that cloud your judgment in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1: I would certainly try not to let --

1 MR. SULLIVAN: Could you promise to put that aside and 2 not consider that? If selected as a juror and if you begin 3 deliberating on this case, could you put your views on the tax 4 code and the tax rates to the side? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1: I would do the best I could. 6 MR. SULLIVAN: Could you promise to do that, though? 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1: Promise to do the best I 8 could? 9 MR. SULLIVAN: Promise to put it to the side and No. 10 decide the case just on the evidence. 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1: Yes. 12 MR. SULLIVAN: And the law. 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1: Yes. 14 MR. SULLIVAN: That's all I have, Your Honor. 15 MR. WEBB: My only questions are your children. 16 made a statement in your questionnaire about being duty bound 17 and interested in being on this jury. Obviously you've got 18 children which is the most paramount thing in your life. 19 If today as we're here going through jury selection, 20 do you have any ability to try to call and see -- the trial's 21 only going to last a week, and if -- is there any way that you 22 have an ability today as we go through selection to call anybody 23 and see if there's a chance you could get childcare for the next 24 several days?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1: To be perfectly honest, I've

25

1 kind of gone through that. When I called yesterday she said you 2 kind of waited till the last minute to call and say this, but I 3 waited till the last minute trying to find somebody. And I 4 think I'd have somebody for tomorrow, but I have not been able 5 to find anybody for Friday and Monday and I haven't looked any 6 I just don't know who else I could call. 7 MR. WEBB: I'm trying to see if there is any way, and 8 you're saying, if I understand --9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1: I don't think so. 10 THE COURT: I do note at the bottom of your 11 questionnaire, you indicate that you're going to be out of the 12 country. 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1: Yes. 14 THE COURT: Are your children traveling with you? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1: No. 16 THE COURT: What childcare arrangements do you have 17 for that trip? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 1: My husband is flying his 19 parents in from Colorado. 20 THE COURT: Is there anything else? 21 MR. WEBB: No. 22 THE COURT: Thank you. Go back to the courtroom. 23 (Juror No. 1 out.) 24 THE COURT: Do the parties wish to be heard? 25 MR. SULLIVAN: We have no objection from releasing her

from her duty. I think she was very sincere about her efforts to find childcare. I saw the three children.

THE COURT: They're getting a tour right now. My assistant is teaching them something about the power files of our office and what goes on in chambers. Go ahead.

MR. WEBB: We're going to follow Your Honor's decision. I don't want to lose this juror, but at the same time -- I will follow whatever decision Your Honor makes.

THE COURT: Well, it is absolutely clear that this particular juror has issues with childcare that are going to interfere with the attention she can give to this case. It is also clear that the kids are young enough that they cannot all stay home alone. And therefore, it's my conclusion that this juror should be excused for cause.

With that said, I will ask the clerk to advise the juror that she may leave at this time and she and the children can return home. All right. We'll take a couple minutes so the kids can be gathered up and the courtroom can get back to normal.

Is there anything else that you need to bring up at this point? Off the record.

(Discussion off the record.)

(Trial resumed in open court.)

THE COURT: Members of the jury, you have been introduced to the parties in this case, and so at the outset I

would like to inquire whether or not there is anyone who is part 1 2 of the panel who recognizes one or more of the parties you've 3 been introduced to. Number 24? 4 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 24: The doctor, actually the 6 main doctor, is on my best friend's case who is in St. Luke's 7 right now under his care. 8 THE COURT: All right. And have you had any personal 9 contact with the defendant? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 24: When he would come into my 11 12 THE COURT: Again, the individual name should be redacted from the record. 13 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 24: I'm sorry. 15 THE COURT: That's all right. 16 But beyond that context, do you know Dr. Ahuja? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 24: Other than him being her 18 medical doctor, no. 19 THE COURT: Do you have any feelings about 20 Dr. Ahuja --21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 24: Yes, I do. 22 THE COURT: And are any of those feelings negative? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 24: As in what way? 24 THE COURT: Do you have any negative feelings toward 25 Dr. Ahuja?

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 24: Not at all. 2 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 3 Is there anyone else? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 34: 34. 5 THE COURT: All right. 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 34: I recognize someone as an 7 acquaintance who is in the courtroom and may possibly be related to the defendant. 8 9 THE COURT: All right. Where is that person in the 10 courtroom? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 34: At the end of the first 12 row. 13 THE COURT: All right. And in what context do you 14 know this person? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 34: We're acquaintances in 16 political campaigns. Sometimes we've been on the same side and 17 sometimes we've been on opposite sides. We're not personal 18 friends. 19 THE COURT: All right. In light of that, do you have any strong feelings one way or the other about this individual 20 21 that may affect you if you are selected as a juror in this case? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 34: Not at all. 23 THE COURT: All right. Would it depend on anything 24 outside of this case as to whether or not your feeling might 25 change at some point in time?

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 34: I don't understand the 2 question. 3 THE COURT: Well, for example, if you wind up on the -- on a different side of a political issue, would that 4 5 affect your personal feelings toward this individual or that 6 person's relative or someone you believe might be a relative? 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 34: Absolutely not. If that 8 were the case I wouldn't have any friends anyway. 9 (General laughter.) 10 THE COURT: As Arsenio Hall would say, it's one of 11 those things that make you go "hmm." All right, thank you. 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 34: Thank you. 13 THE COURT: The jury in this case will consist of 14 14 members. And I mentioned that we expect this case to take 15 perhaps a week. Would that be problematic for any one or more 16 of you? All right. Number 31? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 31: Number 31. I had cleared 18 my schedule for this week but unfortunately made plans to travel 19 starting on Saturday. 20 THE COURT: This coming Saturday? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 31: Excuse me? 22 THE COURT: This coming Saturday? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 31: Yes. Yes, sir. 24 THE COURT: All right. And is this travel something 25 that would be local or long distance?

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 31: Long distance. 2 relatives are coming in from out of town to accompany us. 3 THE COURT: Have you purchased tickets or made 4 financial arrangements for the trip? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 31: Yes, sir. Yes, Judge. THE COURT: And would it be difficult to change your 6 7 itinerary and perhaps delay your trip? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 31: On my account, no; but on 9 the part of the people who are going to accompany me, yes. 10 THE COURT: So it would be an expensive change or a 11 very inconvenient change; is that correct? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 31: Very inconvenient. 13 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 14 Do we have another? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 26: Number 26. Currently 16 tomorrow I have a case pending in this particular building for a 17 bankruptcy. 18 THE COURT: What time? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 26: 10:30. Other than that, I don't have any issue. 20 21 THE COURT: All right. Knowing that proceeding, I can 22 help take care of the time. That shouldn't be a problem. 23 certainly see to it that you're in and out of that proceeding 24 very quickly if necessary. 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 26: Okay, thank you.

1 THE COURT: Is there anyone else? 41? 43. 2 sorry. 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 43: I'm self-employed and so 4 any significant amount of time away affects my livelihood. THE COURT: What is the nature of your business? 5 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 43: I'm an independent 7 insurance agent. 8 THE COURT: All right. Have you set up appointments 9 for this week and next week? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 43: Not because of the 11 uncertainty. I didn't know what we were doing. 12 THE COURT: Do you have others who work with you? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 43: They can cover phones, but 14 any new business that comes in I need to be there. 15 THE COURT: Now, do your staff members review with potential clients the parameters of the products you have 16 17 available? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 43: No, that's all done by 19 myself. 20 THE COURT: Are you able to schedule call-backs and 21 visits with clients after 5:00 o'clock at night? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 43: To a degree, yes. 23 THE COURT: Is that something that you do regularly? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 43: If it fits. I mean, if it 25 fits. Whatever fits the customer's schedule best.

1 THE COURT: Do you also schedule appointments on 2 weekends? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 43: No. 4 THE COURT: Is that by choice or by necessity? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 43: Normally by choice. 6 weekend I have another obligation, so there's something 7 scheduled for this weekend. 8 THE COURT: All right, thank you. 9 Is there anyone else? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 45: Number 45. 11 THE COURT: Number 45? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 45: Yes. 13 THE COURT: Go ahead. 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 45: Right now I'm a crisis 15 stabilizer, so I get paid by clients and I have three clients. 16 So that's going to put me -- I'm the only person that's working. 17 I need to be at my job. 18 THE COURT: Can you explain what types of contacts you 19 have with these clients during the course of a normal workweek; 20 that is, from 8:00 to say 5:00 or 6:00 in the evening? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 45: Crisis. Behavior problems. 22 THE COURT: Behavior problems? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 45: Right. 24 THE COURT: Can you explain what type of contact you 25 have would have during the business hours I just mentioned?

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 45: Taking them to the 2 anger-management classes. 3 THE COURT: So if something should come up, let's say, during the hours of 8:00 to 6:00 or 9:00 to 6:00, you would be 4 5 available -- have to be available to deal with those potential 6 problems? 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 45: Correct. 8 THE COURT: Normally, however, will you be able to 9 tell in advance whether or not you would need to have contact 10 with these individuals during hours I mentioned? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 45: No. Only when there's a 12 crisis. When they call me and I need to go -- I need to stabilize them. 13 14 THE COURT: You'll have to put the mic right in front 15 of your face. 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 45: I need to stabilize the 17 conditions. They call me at random. I go to the home and 18 counsel them and stabilize the situation. 19 THE COURT: Have you had these persons as clients in 20 the past? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 45: Yes. 22 THE COURT: How much contact have you had with these 23 individuals or any one of these individuals during an average 24 week?

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 45: Twice a week.

THE COURT: Twice a week? 1 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 45: Yes. 3 THE COURT: Have you had contact with those individuals this week thus far? 4 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 45: No, not this week. 6 THE COURT: All right. Is there any way to predict 7 how much time you will need to devote to any one of these 8 individuals during the course of the upcoming --9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 45: No, I can't predict that. 10 THE COURT: And is there anyone else to whom these 11 persons can direct their calls or concerns during the course of 12 this week if you are selected as a juror? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 45: To my supervisor. 14 THE COURT: And that supervisor could be put on notice 15 of your unavailability during, let's say, the hours are from 16 8:00 until 5:00 or 6:00 o'clock; is that correct? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 45: Yes. 18 THE COURT: All right, thank you. 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 40: Number 40. 20 THE COURT: All right. Number 40. 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 40: I also have a camping 22 reservation for next week, the 20th through the 23rd. 23 willing to forego that, but I would like to know so I can cancel 24 the reservation and inform my employer not to use up my vacation 25 time.

1 THE COURT: I understand that. Thank you. 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 42: I'm Number 42, Your Honor. 3 I got a subpoena for a civil case in Kenosha County for next 4 Tuesday. THE COURT: What kind have of case would that be? 5 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 42: It's a family court case. 7 I'm a private investigator who was a witness in a case. 8 THE COURT: I assume you know the case number and the 9 court involved in that particular matter; is that correct? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 42: Yes, I have it but I don't 11 have it today. It's not an issue right now, but I just want to 12 let you know I was subpoenaed. 13 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Is there anyone 14 else? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 50: Number 50. 16 THE COURT: All right. Number 50. 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 50: If the case goes till next 18 week, Friday I'm still trying to work out childcare for my 19 one-year-old. That's the only thing. 20 THE COURT: Thank you. 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: I'm Number 2. 22 THE COURT: All right. Number 2. 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: I work for the University of 24 Wisconsin-Parkside in the professional development department. 25 We do professional development for educators, and the summer is

1 our big time. We're in the middle of a conference now, and I 2 have another one scheduled for the 21st and 22nd of next week. 3 THE COURT: All right. And what is your 4 responsibility in connection with such conferences? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: I do the registration, I 6 handle the logistics as far as room reservations, get the 7 presenters, contracts, all of their needs, order food, make sure 8 everything is going with food service on campus, parking. 9 Basically everything except for present. 10 THE COURT: When you are unavailable, is there someone 11 else who handles those responsibilities? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: I do have a supervisor who 13 knows most of what I do. I was able to keep her updated for 14 this week, but I have not prepared for the 21st or 22nd. 15 THE COURT: Would the responsibilities associated with 16 the conference next week be something that you could relay to 17 your supervisor during a recess in this case? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: I could try, definitely. I 19 mean, it would help if I was there. But as she's running the 20 conference that were there right now -- we probably could talk 21 in the evening, I'm sure, yes. 22 THE COURT: All right, thank you. 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: You're welcome. 24 THE COURT: Number 3? 25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 3: Yes, I'm Number 3.

Your Honor, I asked to be excused because I'm under 1 2 medical care right now, and I'm seeing three different doctors. 3 I have PTSD, I suffer from anxiety and insomnia disorders, and I'm on a lot of different medications. And I would have to take 4 5 them during the day, and I don't think that would be too good to 6 sit through the courtroom proceeding under those medications. 7 THE COURT: Are you comfortable at this point in time? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 3: I'm in a lot of pain right 9 now. 10 THE COURT: Is there anything we can do to assist? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 3: Well, I'll try to bear with 12 it, you know, right now and then just take my medication when I 13 get home. 14 THE COURT: When you are medicated, does that affect your attention? 15 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 3: Yes. I have to take things 17 like hydrocodone, I take things kind of like make me groggy, 18 dizzy, sleepy. 19 THE COURT: Have you had any difficulty handling your 20 personal affairs while taking your medication as prescribed? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 3: Yeah. See, I won't drive or 22 try to do housework. It's kind of difficult for me under those 23 medications. 24 THE COURT: Thus far, has there been anything that you

have been unable to follow as we have gone through voir dire?

25

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 3: Excuse me again? 2 THE COURT: Is there anything that we've talked about 3 so far that you have had any difficulty understanding so far? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 3: No, sir. 5 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 3: You're welcome, sir. 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 16: Hi, Your Honor. I'm a 8 diabetic, and right now I'm not feeling all that good. 9 THE COURT: What's your number? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 16: 11 THE COURT: All right. Number 16. 12 Have you taken your medication as prescribed? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 16: Not today because I haven't 14 ate anything and I'm kind of shaky. So I'm not feeling all that 15 good. 16 THE COURT: Do you need a short break at this point in 17 time to handle any issues that you may be having at the moment? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 16: Yeah. I need to eat 19 something. 20 THE COURT: You didn't eat? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 16: I was trying to get here. 22 THE COURT: All right. Please be seated. Help is on 23 the way. 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 23: Number 23. I understand 25 that this trial is scheduled to extend into next week for some

1 time. If it were to go to the week of the 26th for some reason, 2 I have a nonrefundable plane ticket to be at a professional 3 conference that week. THE COURT: Where is the conference? 4 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 23: Hawaii. I didn't choose 6 that, I swear. 7 (General laughter.) THE COURT: I didn't ask for that reaction. 8 9 (General laughter.) 10 THE COURT: I think they're jealous. You said it's a 11 nonrefundable ticket? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 23: Yes, sir. 13 THE COURT: On which island are you going to have this 14 conference? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 23: I don't know, I have to fly 16 into the Kona Airport. Is that the big island? 17 THE COURT: No, that's Oahu. The big island is 18 Hawaii, but Oahu is the main airport for Hawaii. All right. 19 Thank you. 20 Is there anyone else? 21 (No response.) 22 THE COURT: Do the parties have any questions of the 23 jurors who were just questioned by the Court? 24 MR. SULLIVAN: No, Your Honor. 25 THE COURT: Does the defense have any questions of the

jurors who were just questioned by the court?

MR. WEBB: Just briefly.

Juror No. 2, as far as the conference next week and the arrangements that you've told us about as far as the -- if I understand it, you're going to attempt to contact your supervisor to see if the she could possibly handle that next week. Is that --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: I am.

MR. WEBB: I can hear. That's fine. I don't know exactly the arrangements, but would the fact that you would feel that you needed or wanted to be at the conference and because it's important to you and your job, would that maybe affect your ability to pay attention? Would you be distracted because of the concerns that you're not at the conference and taking care of issues that you think you should take care of?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: I've already been distracted this morning, yes.

MR. WEBB: You have. And as far as we understand your job and the responsibilities -- so if you were to serve on this jury like into next week, do you think that distraction might interfere a little bit with your ability to pay attention to the case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: I would hope not. I want to say no, but my e-mail goes to the phone and I -- I've been on e-mail all morning.

MR. WEBB: We understand you want to, and this is a very important case and there's a lot of stake in the case. And we understand responsibilities. And so when you said you would like to, I kind of sensed a little hesitation there because you're trying to dig deep and be honest with us, and that's what we want you to do. So I take it you have a little doubt; in other words, you think maybe it might interfere. Is that fair to say?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: Yes.

MR. WEBB: I have no more questions.

THE COURT: All right. Is there anyone on the panel who has any difficulty hearing? Has anyone had any problems hearing what has taken place in the courtroom up to this point?

(No response.)

THE COURT: I mentioned upstairs that we do have assistive devices here in the courtroom; therefore if anyone should at some point in time have difficulty hearing, you can let us know and we will get you a listening tool.

Now, each of you has received and responded to a questionnaire. After reviewing those questionnaires this morning, is there anyone who would respond differently to what you were asked in the questionnaire at the outset? All right. We will start with those in the jury box. Number 22.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 22: Number 22. It's regarding the question 14. I kind of misinterpreted the question. I do

1 have a son-in-law -- I don't know if it's pertinent in this 2 case, but he's a police officer here in Milwaukee. 3 THE COURT: All right. What type of assignment does that individual have? 4 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 22: He's a patrol officer. 6 THE COURT: All right. Is there anything about your 7 son-in-law's work that you can now think about or that you think 8 about at this time which would affect your ability to be fair to 9 both sides in this case? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 22: No. 11 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 12 In the back near the window. We'll start with the front row. Number 25. 13 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 25: Number 25. On Ouestion 15 Number 11, I answered originally to taxes and tax preparation, I 16 said yes because I prepared my own taxes. I have not done it on 17 a professional level or I don't have any education or training 18 or work experience. So I misunderstood the question at first. 19 THE COURT: All right. Is there anyone else? 20 That's it. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 25: 21 THE COURT: Thank you. 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 31: Number 31. The only thing 23 I would change is what I previously mentioned regarding travel 24 for next week. 25

THE COURT: All right.

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 52: Number 52. I answered 2 Question Number 16, I checked it wrong. I misunderstood the 3 question. My response -- the reason I think --4 THE COURT: You'll have to speak up. 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 52: I'm employed as a parole 6 and probation agent, so the reason that I think this could 7 affect me because I don't know if I could form a fair opinion in 8 this case. 9 THE COURT: Why so? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 52: Based on my experiences 11 dealing with caseloads and those involved with the criminal 12 justice system, it's kind of -- I mean you form a certain 13 opinion after a while. 14 THE COURT: You'll have to explain that a little more. 15 You form certain opinions concerning what? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 52: Based upon those 17 individuals' criminal pasts and their adjustment to supervision. 18 THE COURT: So --19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 52: I just don't know if --20 THE COURT: It's your job as a probation and probation 21 officer for the State of Wisconsin to deal with persons who have 22 been convicted of crimes; is that correct? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 52: That is correct. 24 THE COURT: And are you suggesting that when you have

people who are being supervised by you, you have certain

25

1 opinions that you formed concerning those individuals? Is that 2 correct? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 52: Sometimes, yes. 4 THE COURT: All right. Now, this is a case where you 5 are being asked to serve as a juror and to assess the facts as 6 they are presented. Do you believe that your work as a 7 probation officer would make it impossible for you to assess the 8 facts that would be presented in this case fairly? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 52: In a way. 10 THE COURT: Why so? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 52: Well, I quess looking at --12 I guess I would want to know -- if I'm presented with the 13 person's past, if there's anything I guess that would cause me 14 to be able to make a fair decision. 15 THE COURT: As a probation and parole officer, are you 16 asked to provide reports concerning people you work with? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 52: Yes. 18 THE COURT: When you prepare those reports, do you 19 include in those reports facts as they are presented to you? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 52: 21 THE COURT: When you evaluate the people you work 22 with, do you evaluate them on the basis of the facts that are 23 presented? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 52: Yes. 25 THE COURT: Can you tell me why you would deal with

1 people differently as a juror than you would in your 2 professional capacity as a probation and parole officer for the 3 State? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 52: (No response.) 4 5 THE COURT: In other words, I'm asking you whether or 6 not you as a juror could be fair in a way that would be 7 consistent with your responsibility as a probation and parole officer. 8 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 52: (No response.) 10 THE COURT: Are you telling me that you're incapable 11 as a probation and parole officer with dealing with people 12 solely on the basis of the facts? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 52: No. 14 THE COURT: If that is so, can you explain why you 15 would not be able to assess the facts in this case solely on the 16 basis of what is presented in the courtroom? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 52: I could assess that. 18 Do you understand what I'm getting at? THE COURT: 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 52: Yes. 20 THE COURT: Is there any reason why you believe you 21 would not -- you should not sit on this case beyond what you've 22 said so far? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 52: I guess it just kind of 24 makes it, I guess, a bit uncomfortable. 25 THE COURT: Are you looking for a reason not to serve

on the jury in this case? 1 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 52: No. Just the comfort 3 level, that's all. THE COURT: Why would you be uncomfortable serving as 4 5 a juror? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 52: I quess with it being a 7 criminal matter. 8 THE COURT: Are you uncomfortable being around people 9 who are involved in criminal cases? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 52: No. I wouldn't say that. 11 But I quess --12 THE COURT: Well, what would you say about being 13 around people who are involved in criminal cases? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 52: I quess -- I don't know. 15 can't really --16 THE COURT: We'll come back to you. 17 Is there anyone else? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 51: Number 51. I noticed I 19 forgot to answer the second half of Question 8, and that is did 20 I deal with government regulations a lot in my current job. And 21 then in Question 11 I misread. And I do have work experience in 22 accounting and bookkeeping, and I do not have work experiences 23 in taxes and tax preparation. 24 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 25 Do we have anyone else?

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 42: Yes, Your Honor --2 THE COURT: Number 42? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 42: Number 42, sorry. 4 I had mentioned it was a jury trial and it was 5 postponed at least once, so I just wanted to bring that to the 6 Court's attention. 7 THE COURT: Very well. Were you subpoenaed by the 8 State or by the defense? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 42: By the defense. 10 THE COURT: All right. So you wouldn't start off as a 11 witness in the case. You would be toward the end of the case. 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 42: Thanks. 13 THE COURT: Go ahead. 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 50: Number 50. I first -- oh, 15 49, I'm sorry. I first answered no to Number 9. I first 16 answered no to Number 9 as far as owning a business, but I 17 wasn't thinking. My husband and I have a karate school, but I 18 don't really have anything to do with it. 19 THE COURT: You have a karate school? Do you have a 20 karate belt? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 49: I do. 22 THE COURT: Which one? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 49: A brown. 24 THE COURT: How long have you held it? 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 49: About 15 years.

1 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. I won't ask you to 2 do any katas in the courtroom. 3 Number 33. 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 33: I changed Number 30 from "comfortable" to "not very comfortable," the reason being I'm 5 6 just very nervous about -- my IQ isn't very high, and I just 7 don't want to -- that I could do this. 8 THE COURT: What type of work do you do? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 33: I am retired. 10 THE COURT: What type of work did you do prior to 11 retirement? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 33: Factory work. 13 THE COURT: What type of factory work? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 33: I worked at --14 15 THE COURT: You worked in a bindery at what place? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 33: World Color. 17 THE COURT: World Color. Go ahead. 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 33: And I worked at Milwaukee 19 Faucet. Piecework, really. Screws. 20 THE COURT: Were you ever a supervisor? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 33: 22 THE COURT: How long have you been retired? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 33: Ten years. 24 THE COURT: What types of things do you do to occupy 25 your time most days?

```
1
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 33: Run errands for everybody.
 2
     I sew. Fish.
 3
               THE COURT: All right.
 4
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 33: Clean house.
 5
               THE COURT: Thank you.
6
               Do we have anyone else? Number 7?
 7
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 7: Yeah.
8
               THE COURT: One second.
9
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 7: Okay. Number 7. Question 6
10
     I should have left blank, and I misread it. That's it.
11
               THE COURT: You said you left blank?
12
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 7: I should have left blank.
     Just misread it.
13
14
               THE COURT: That question asked about hobbies.
15
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 7: Oh, Question 6.
16
               THE COURT: 6, I'm sorry. All right. Very well.
17
     Number 5.
18
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 5: Number 5. For Question 2, I
19
     just recently moved on the first of this month, so instead of --
20
     I changed my address two weeks ago.
21
               THE COURT: All right. And is it still within Eastern
22
     Wisconsin?
23
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 5: Yes, sir.
24
               THE COURT: You didn't fly in from Hawaii, did you?
25
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 5: No, sir.
```

1	(General laughter.)
2	THE COURT: Just wanted to make sure.
3	Is there anyone else?
4	(No response.)
5	THE COURT: Do the parties have questions of any one
6	of these jurors based upon what was just asked and said by them?
7	MR. SULLIVAN: No, Your Honor.
8	MR. WEBB: No, Your Honor.
9	THE COURT: Is there anyone who has read anything
10	concerning this case?
11	(No response.)
12	THE COURT: I'm not restricting your reading to things
13	you may have read in a newspaper. I'm taking into account any
14	social media or online materials.
15	By the way, is there anyone who does any tweeting?
16	All right. We have two number what's your number?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 20: 20.
18	THE COURT: 20. And in the back, is there someone
19	else?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 44: 44.
21	THE COURT: 44. Is there anyone who blogs?
22	(No response.)
23	THE COURT: All right. I've already put you on notice
24	concerning social media, but I will reiterate. You may not at
25	any point in time engage in any communications directly,

face-to-face, or by way of any social media. You are not to say to any person anything concerning the particulars of this case or do any research impacting on this case or the issues that may arise during the course of this case because it may adversely affect this trial. The parties deserve from you the promise that you will restrict what you learn or what you see to those things that are presented in this courtroom.

We will take a very short recess at this time. Please do not wander far. If anyone needs to use the restroom, you will be given an opportunity to utilize the facilities at this point in time.

I'd like to see the parties at side bar.

(Off-the-record discussion at side bar with all counsel and the court.)

(Recess taken at 11:12 a.m., until 11:24 a.m.)

THE COURT: I'd like to see counsel at side bar.

You may be seated.

(At side bar on the record.)

THE COURT: Please note we're at side bar. The defendant is not at side bar. I did mention during our final pretrial conference earlier that the defendant is entitled to participate in every phase of the case, including side bar conferences. Do you want to check with your client to ensure that he recognizes his right to be here?

MR. WEBB: I have. You'll put on the record I

consulted with Dr. Ahuja about his right to be at side bar

conferences, and he's agreed that by and large he will not be at

side bar conferences. But if he chooses to be, he will

accompany me if the issue warrants it.

THE COURT: All right. Juror No. 16, the person who

indicated she is diabetic, is in the jury room at this point in

indicated she is diabetic, is in the jury room at this point in time. My clerk reports that during the recess she was able to eat some food; however, she also reported that her blood glucose is now at 300, and that is exceedingly high.

Do you wish to ask additional questions of Juror No. 16 in the jury room?

MR. WEBB: Yes.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SULLIVAN: We move to strike for cause.

THE COURT: Would you repeat that, Mr. Webb?

MR. WEBB: I would like to briefly ask questions.

THE COURT: All right. We'll go to the jury room.

(End of discussion at side bar.)

(Trial adjourned to the jury room.)

THE COURT: Let the record show that we're in the jury room with Juror No. 16 and another individual whom I believe is her spouse. Is that correct?

SPOUSE: Correct.

THE COURT: Juror No. 16, while you were in the courtroom you indicated that you were having some health issues.

Can you explain a little further what's going on?

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 16: Okay. I'm a diabetic, and 2 I'm not really a good diabetic. And I used the bathroom, 3 frankly, and I was in a hurry to get here this morning because I 4 came from Kenosha, so I didn't eat anything. So -- and then I 5 didn't take no medicine. So it didn't help. 6 THE COURT: Do you have your medication? 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 16: Yeah, I have pills, but 8 then I supposed to take a shot right after I eat and I don't 9 have that. 10 THE COURT: All right. Have you checked your blood 11 glucose? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 16: Yeah, I just checked it. It's 329. 13 14 THE COURT: What does it run ordinarily? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 16: Usually it should be like 16 98 to 115. 17 THE COURT: When did you last check your blood 18 qlucose? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 16: Last night it was 145 after 20 I ate. 21 THE COURT: When you say you're not a good diabetic, 22 does that mean that you do not follow your doctor's instructions 23 as closely as I know you should? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 16: Right. 25 THE COURT: Is there anything else in terms of your

1 feelings at this time that you can report? 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 16: Just not feeling good. 3 That's about it. 4 THE COURT: When you say you're not feeling well, what 5 in particular is going on? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 16: I'm talking like a little 7 shaky, a little nervous, you know, just really not feeling good. 8 THE COURT: Is the nervousness something that you 9 experience with some degree of regularity when you're having 10 problems with your diabetes? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 16: Yeah, I get like jittery. 12 THE COURT: All right. You live in Kenosha. How long 13 does it take you to get back and forth ordinarily? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 16: I don't know nothing about 15 Milwaukee, so --16 THE COURT: Well, when you got here this morning, how 17 long did it take you to arrive at the courthouse from the time 18 you left home? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 16: 20 SPOUSE: About an hour, with traffic. 21 THE COURT: All right. Ordinarily it would take you 22 about what, 45 minutes? 23 SPOUSE: If I speeding, yeah. 24 THE COURT: Are you closer to the lake in Kenosha? 25 SPOUSE: Yes.

1 THE COURT: And so do you drive westward on which, is 2 that 39? 3 SPOUSE: Take today, I took west on Washington Road to 4 94 and then east. 5 THE COURT: And then westward on 94. 6 SPOUSE: Yeah. 7 THE COURT: Do the parties have questions? 8 MR. SULLIVAN: None from the government. 9 MR. WEBB: Your health has to be of paramount 10 importance. Let me just ask you directly: If you had the right 11 medications and ate at the right times, would you be okay with 12 serving on this jury, or are you kind of telling us that because 13 of your health you just feel you should not be on this jury? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 16: Because I'm going to have 15 to keep getting up, going to the bathroom -- like I said, I use 16 it frequently. I just can't -- I don't think -- if I'm sick, 17 I'm not going to really pay attention to what's going on. 18 going to be about me. So --19 THE COURT: We do take breaks about every hour, hour 20 and a half, if necessary. Would that accommodate you if you 21 were a juror? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 16: I can't say because I can't 23 say when it's up and when it's down. And when it's down, I go 24 to shaking and stuff. So I can't make that call, you know.

SPOUSE: May I say something? She failed to say that

25

1 she's in waiting for her insulin, her new supply. She ran low 2 and her insurance only allowed them to send bulk orders and --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 16: They sent me some but they 3 didn't send all. 4 5 THE COURT: So are you saying you don't have all your 6 medication at home? 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 16: Right. Because this new 8 mail stuff with the insurance, you have to mail, you know. You 9 know how that goes. 10 THE COURT: All right. Very well. How do you feel at 11 this moment? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 16: Not feeling too good. Now 13 my feet is itching and that's one of the problems. 14 THE COURT: I understand. 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 16: I'm just not feeling good. 16 I just want to go lay down. 17 THE COURT: All right. I'd like to see the parties at 18 side bar. Better yet, stay here. 19 Can you step out for a moment, please? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 16: Yes. 21 THE COURT: That might be easier. You can take a 22 chair outside, Kris. 23 (Juror No. 16 and spouse out.) 24 THE COURT: Be seated, please. I'd like your 25 comments. First offer with the government?

MR. SULLIVAN: Government moves to strike for cause. Based on what I just observed, the woman is presently ill, she said she wanted to go lie down. In the courtroom her head was down. I think she is suffering from an insulin reaction right now, and in the interests of justice I'd like to let her go to

seek medical attention if necessary.

MR. WEBB: I'm going to do the same thing as I did last time. I don't want her excused. I just think she doesn't want to be on this jury, but quite frankly, I'm just going to let Your Honor decide.

THE COURT: I agree in part with what the defense said; that is, I believe this juror does not wish to be here. On the other hand, there are several things she said that indicate to me she should not be on the jury. The first is her description of her symptoms. What she testified to or asserted are classic symptoms of some adverse effects of diabetes. More important, this juror said she does not have and currently is not using insulin as directed by her doctor because of insurance issues and failure of the insurance company to provide her with necessary insulin in a timely manner.

That being so and given the fact that this juror is likely to seek the opportunity to excuse herself from the courtroom more frequently than would be appropriate, I will excuse her for cause.

MR. SULLIVAN: May I add one thing for the record,

Your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. SULLIVAN: On her juror questionnaire she said, she indicated that she likes jury duty, and we wanted to -- for the record, we had her in a good category. So we're somewhat remiss to lose her.

THE COURT: Yeah, I do see that there are some inconsistencies there, but at this point in time it's pretty apparent that she does not want to be here. But the fact that she did come this morning notwithstanding the medical issues that she raised shows a sense of faithfulness to obligation that can't be discounted. But I do believe under the circumstance there is cause for dismissal.

Now, with regard to any one or more of the other jurors who indicated they're having a problem, do you wish to be heard or have any view as to whether or not who should be excused?

MR. WEBB: Your Honor -- I'm sorry, you go first. I should let the government go first.

MR. SULLIVAN: I think the first one is Juror 31 indicated that she would be traveling on Saturday.

THE COURT: The clerk's out of the room and she keeps track of all of this for me. Off the record.

(Discussion off the record.)

THE COURT: 31.

1 THE CLERK: You want to see 31?

THE COURT: Yeah. Thank you. Go ahead.

(Brief pause.)

MR. SULLIVAN: And again, Your Honor, we have her in -- our preliminary assessment of her is she would be a good juror. She indicated she would be fair and impartial. But she did note earlier that that would be a financial hardship on her, I believe she said.

THE COURT: I believe she also mentioned that there are relatives coming in town and it would also be expensive and very difficult for them as well.

MR. SULLIVAN: Yes. So we move to strike for cause because we -- really, the government wants jurors who not only want and are willing and are capable of serving but do not have a type of financial hardship or other hardship that would interfere with their lives. Maybe she can come back and serve on a different panel.

MR. WEBB: I agree.

THE COURT: All right. We'll excuse her for cause.

Next?

MR. SULLIVAN: 24. I was going to do some follow-up questioning on her. She indicated she has feelings regarding Dr. Ahuja. We didn't really explore that. I can wait. We can wait later and explore that in the courtroom. But at this point in time, we would move to have her excused for cause because she

1 said that she personally knows the defendant, and the government 2 would be more comfortable with jurors that don't know any of the 3 parties, and especially a juror that has said she has feelings about the defendant. 4 5 MR. WEBB: Well, never mind. I didn't mean to 6 interrupt. 7 THE COURT: No, go ahead. 8 MR. WEBB: I object to excusing her. 9 THE COURT: I agree. At least on the basis of what we 10 know thus far. However, inasmuch as the government wishes to 11 explore further the feelings that this juror had notwithstanding 12 her earlier statement that she does not have any negative 13 feelings toward Dr. Ahuja, we'll bring her in. 14 Would you bring her in? 15 (Juror No. 24 in.) 16 THE COURT: Juror No. 24, I'd like to ask you some 17 additional questions regarding your knowledge of Dr. Ahuja and 18 the feelings you have toward Dr. Ahuja. Would you give us some 19 additional details respecting your knowledge of Dr. Ahuja? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 24: On the 25th, I believe it 21 was --22 Do I need to say names? 23 THE COURT: No. 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 24: -- my friend went into the

hospital with what they said was 100 percent curable back tumor

25

on her spine. We are -- now I'm up there every day at St. Luke's, and she's now in the neurological ICU. She cannot speak, she cannot move, she can't do anything. She's got something going on with her brain.

And my feelings personally are is he's a great doctor and he should be there taking care of my friend, making her talk to me again. She's not talking to me. I don't want to sit here and watch him go through whatever his personal issues are when I want my best friend back. It's as simple as that. So -- I mean, can I be partial against him? I don't know because the only side I see from him is him trying to cure her. So I don't know --

THE COURT: Is he her treating physician?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 24: She's got so many doctors I can't even name them all. Her husband is somewhere out in the gallery waiting for me, actually, because we go to the hospital together every day.

THE COURT: Is he wearing a cap?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 24: He's gray. I don't know if he has a hat on today. He's got a checkered shirt on.

THE COURT: Red shirt?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 24: Yes.

THE COURT: All right.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 24: And like I said, my mind is all on her. She went from talking to us to not talking to us at

1 all. And it's all neurological. So this all had to do with --2 I understand you guys have a case. I understand you guys have a 3 case, but my only feelings are I want him taking care of her. 4 His personal life is -- I don't care about actually. So --5 THE COURT: So you don't have any negative feelings 6 about the government merely because Dr. Ahuja is a defendant in 7 this case; is that correct? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 24: The government itself 8 9 towards him? 10 THE COURT: Yeah. The government as it relates to 11 him. The government is prosecuting him. 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 24: Not as it relates to him, 13 no. 14 THE COURT: Do you have any feelings about whether or 15 not Dr. Ahuja should be required to respond to a charge? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 24: Oh, I definitely think he 17 should be required to respond to charges against him. 18 THE COURT: Okay. And when I say required to respond, 19 that means he has to be in a courtroom. He doesn't have to 20 prove whether or not he is guilty or innocent. Do you 21 understand that? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 24: I understand that. It's 23 just --24 THE COURT: So you know the burden is on the 25 government to prove somebody quilty.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 24: Yes, I do understand that.

THE COURT: With that said, would you tend to rush to get to a judgment if you were a juror because you want Dr. Ahuja out of here?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 24: Honestly, yes. To be totally honest with you, I'd rather him be in the hospital because he's a very good doctor. He's promised us that he would do everything that he could to make her better, and I want him to stick to his promise. I want my friend back. If you want total honesty, yeah, I would. I want this over with. So I --

THE COURT: Does the government have any questions?

MR. SULLIVAN: None, Your Honor.

THE COURT: The defense?

MR. WEBB: A couple. I'm one of Dr. Ahuja's lawyers. This trial is going to last one week, maybe less, but one week we'll say. And he has to be here. I can't change that and you can't either.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 24: Yeah, I know.

MR. WEBB: What we're looking for, though, people need to serve on a jury that can set aside personal feelings and just be fair and impartial to both sides. So if I heard you a moment ago as far as your ability to be fair and impartial, you're okay on that. Is that --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 24: Yes and no. I don't know how to answer that. I mean, fair and impartial as in -- my

1 whole feeling -- my mind wouldn't be there. My whole mind is 2 wanting to get back to St. Luke's. I spend all day at 3 St. Luke's. So I don't know how to answer that question. I 4 mean, would I listen to the evidence? Would I understand it? I'm smart enough to understand it. I just --5 6 MR. WEBB: Let me see if I can help you, then. Let me 7 ask you, His Honor is going to give you an instruction that as a 8 juror if you're on this jury you have to follow the law as he 9 gives it to you. 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 24: Yes, I can follow the law. 11 MR. WEBB: You can do that. 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 24: Yes. 13 MR. WEBB: And one of the instructions that he's going 14 to give you is that you must decide the case just based on the 15 evidence you hear in the courtroom. 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 24: 17 MR. WEBB: And I take it you could follow that 18 instruction. 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 24: Oh, yeah. 20 MR. WEBB: You don't doubt that for a moment, do you? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 24: 22 And you right now, even though your 23 feelings about your friend -- and I understand everything you 24 said. But you will be able to follow the instruction that the

Court gives you and you will base any verdict you reach just on

25

1 the evidence you heard in this courtroom if you're selected. 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 24: Yes. 3 MR. WEBB: I have no more questions. 4 If you could press a button right now MR. SULLIVAN: 5 and that button would find the defendant not quilty so he could 6 go help your friend, would you do it? 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 24: Honestly? 8 MR. SULLIVAN: Yes. 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 24: Yes. In a heartbeat. 10 That's my life right now. 11 THE COURT: Regardless of the evidence? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 24: I'm not going to lie. 13 probably would. If you could tell me right now that he could go 14 and cure her, I would do anything. 15 THE COURT: You understand that nobody can 16 guarantee --17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 24: It's contradictory, but I'm 18 not going to lie. I mean, I want her better. You know, I want 19 him there to make her better. So --20 THE COURT: Do you understand that a doctor cannot 21 quarantee results. 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 24: Yes, I do. 23 THE COURT: And do you know that a juror should not 24 decide a case solely on the basis of her feelings? 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 24: Yes, I do.

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

THE COURT: You know you can't fulfill your obligation as a juror based on sympathy.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 24: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: You know you can't fulfill your obligation based on prejudice.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 24: I understand that.

THE COURT: And with that in mind, are you saying that your sympathy for your friend would form the basis for your decision as a juror in this case if you were selected?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 24: Not so much for my sympathy, it's more of I can honestly say my mind wouldn't be there. Like he said, if you give me right now that you could let him go right now and he could go take care of my friend, yes, I would choose that. Am I going to lie -- I'm not going to lie to you, you know, could I be partial if I had to listen to the evidence and everything like that? Yes, I'd listen to the evidence. Yes, I would. You know, I would do everything I could to form an opinion one way or the other.

THE COURT: Based solely on the evidence? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 24: Based solely on the evidence. But I honestly don't know if right now my mind would really be -- you know, my mind is so many other places right now.

THE COURT: So are you saying that your attention may not be devoted solely to the evidence in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 24: Not 100 percent. I mean, I have a hard enough time having my attention on my family at home and not on her because she is going downhill so fast and they don't know why.

THE COURT: All right.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 24: I mean, that's 100 percent honesty. I'm not going to lie to you to make you or you happy. You know, so --

THE COURT: My happiness isn't something you should be concerned about.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 24: I'm just saying I'm not going to lie to you.

THE COURT: Mr. Sullivan?

MR. SULLIVAN: Just to be clear, if you had -- if you were on the jury and you were deliberating and you knew that if you voted for a guilty verdict, if in your mind you thought a guilty verdict would prevent Dr. Ahuja from being able to care for your friend, wouldn't that cloud your judgment?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 24: No. Because if I have to sit on a jury, I have to go by the evidence. It's -- I mean, you know, I don't foresee it clouding my judgment. If you can prove that he's guilty, then he's guilty. If you can prove he's innocent, then he's innocent. I mean, you have to have proof either one way or the other. You know, it has nothing to do with me saying, you know, well, yeah, you're guilty, go away

because if you prove him guilty, he's not taking care of her.

If they prove him innocent, he is. But I just wasted a week to be with her to sit here and prove him one way or the other.

MR. SULLIVAN: Then I don't understand what the problem is. Because we're looking for someone who is fair and impartial and just decide the case on the evidence.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 24: Right now my head wouldn't be on the case. The issue is, is that I really could not concentrate on -- you know, from what I read on the form that you guys gave me, it's, you know, I wouldn't really be concentrating on that. My whole thing is that he should be -- I understand if he did what he did he needs to be held liable, or if he didn't then he needs to be set free. But the only thing my head is thinking is he is a doctor, he's my friend's doctor, he needs to be there helping her. I mean, that's the only answer I can really give you. So I don't know.

THE COURT: That's fine. Thank you. You may return to the courtroom.

On second thought, Kris, have her sit outside.

(Juror No. 24 out.)

THE COURT: Mr. Sullivan?

MR. SULLIVAN: The government moves to strike the juror or have the juror excused for cause because at certain points she indicated that she right now would vote not guilty by pressing the magic button. Other times she said she could be

fair and impartial. Other times she said she couldn't pay attention. Frankly, the government is very confused and would prefer to have jurors that are willing, able, and do not have issues with friends who are apparently in comas being treated by the defendant.

MR. WEBB: My response is, first of all, in response to Mr. Sullivan's last question in response to my question and in response to Your Honor's question, she said if she is selected on the jury she will listen to the evidence and return a verdict accordingly. That's number one.

Number two, the fact that the government is confused -- and by the way, under the case law I believe the fact that a juror indicates that they have personal problems in their life that it makes it challenging for them to be on the jury, which is what she essentially said, is not grounds to excuse her for cause. That's why we have peremptory challenges.

So based on the record that has just been established before Your Honor, I object to her being excused for cause because I don't think as a matter of law there's a basis to excuse her for cause. And the parties can evaluate this after they do more questioning of her as to whether they want her to serve or not, but I don't believe there's a basis to excuse her for cause right now.

THE COURT: What the juror has said is equivocal, at worst. I do not find from her responses a basis for excusing

her for cause. What she said about possibly pushing a magic button and getting out of here quickly was also explained during the course of her examination in a way that provides the Court with some question as to whether or not she can be fair, but it does not demonstrate that she would be unfair.

Therefore, the Court declines to strike this juror for cause. We will revisit this issue after examination of the entire panel and any additional responses of this juror that may be warranted.

Is there any other juror we need to discuss at this point in time? Well, maybe I should get her back to the courtroom.

(Brief pause.)

MR. SULLIVAN: Not at this time from the government.

THE COURT: Does the defense have any issues or questions concerning the jurors who have responded thus far?

MR. WEBB: One second, Your Honor.

MR. SULLIVAN: Can I take that back and add one?

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. SULLIVAN: Juror No. 3 indicated that he is not taking his medication.

THE COURT: The guy with PTSD.

MR. SULLIVAN: Yes. But that if he does take it he would feel groggy, dizzy, and sleepy, and that right now he's in pain but he seems to be able to bear the pain right now. So

```
1
     that would be --
 2
               THE COURT: Mr. Webb?
 3
               MR. WEBB: Sorry. Are you making a motion on Juror
 4
     No. 3? I didn't understand what you just said.
 5
               THE COURT: I think what he said was equivocal, at
6
     best.
 7
               MR. WEBB:
                          I do too.
8
               MR. SULLIVAN: I'm not saying the government is
9
     confused, but -- we should move on.
10
               MR. WEBB:
                         I have two jurors I would like to call to
11
     Your Honor's attention.
12
               THE COURT: All right.
13
               MR. WEBB: One second. Off the record.
14
               (Discussion off the record.)
15
               (Juror No. 39 in.)
16
               THE CLERK: This is Juror No. 39.
17
               THE COURT: Juror No. 39.
18
                                         Yes.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 39:
19
               THE COURT: Do you have at this time an ongoing
20
     medical issue?
21
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 39: Yes.
22
               THE COURT: How is it affecting you?
23
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 39: It's just a little painful.
24
     And basically, I had a hysterectomy a little less than a month
25
     ago, and so just this stomach pain and cutting the stomach
```

1 muscles. I use my back to overcompensate a lot so it hurts just 2 to sit for long periods of time. 3 THE COURT: Are you able to stand periodically? Is 4 that helpful? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 39: Yes, for a little bit. 6 Because with that I've just -- I also feel really tired. 7 THE COURT: Are you on pain medication? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 39: I did not take any today, 9 no. 10 THE COURT: Do you need pain medication at this time? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 39: Every once in a while. 12 THE COURT: Are you taking over-the-counter medication? 13 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 39: Right this second, no. 15 haven't taken anything today. 16 THE COURT: But before coming here and on days 17 preceding this, have you taken any medication? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 39: Yes. Either that or 19 prescription medication. 20 THE COURT: Are you able to -- do you believe that if 21 you were to take your pain medication or over-the-counter 22 medication it would relieve the discomfort you're having at this 23 time? Because we have to take a break at some point. 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 39: Yes and no. It all just 25 depends. Sometimes in order to relieve discomfort I need to use

1 a heating pad, along with pain medication, and lay down. 2 THE COURT: Are you having severe discomfort at this 3 point or just --4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 39: My back is between mild and 5 severe right now. 6 THE COURT: Is there anything that would relieve your 7 symptoms sufficiently for you to devote full attention to this 8 case if you were to be selected today and go home tonight and 9 take your medication? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 39: It would not be better 11 tomorrow. I mean, it would be the same. 12 THE COURT: But if you were to take your medication, 13 would that ordinarily provide you with a level of comfort that would enable you to pay full attention to this case? 14 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 39: It's hard to say because 16 it's a number of things. You know, it's the medication, it's 17 the heating pad, it's laying down that can relieve it. 18 THE COURT: And so you would not be able to do all of 19 those things if you were selected. 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 39: Correct. 21 THE COURT: All right. And when you do all of those 22 things, are you then sufficiently comfortable to carry on 23 normally?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 39: I spend a lot of time

laying in bed, if that's -- I mean, I can do things -- spurts of

24

25

1 things but not -- I couldn't make it through a whole day out of 2 bed. 3 THE COURT: Are you especially tired postsurgery? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 39: Oh, without a doubt. 5 THE COURT: Have you become more alert as time as 6 passed since your surgery? 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 39: Oh, yeah. 8 THE COURT: How much are you sleeping during the 9 course of an average day right now? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 39: Um, usually I take a nap 11 every day, two to three hours, and then 10 to 12 hours of sleep 12 at night. 13 THE COURT: Are you employed at this time? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 39: No. 15 THE COURT: Were you employed prior to surgery? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 39: I was just baby-sitting 17 children. No, not full-time. 18 THE COURT: Do the parties have questions of the 19 juror? 20 MR. WEBB: No. 21 MR. SULLIVAN: No, Your Honor. 22 THE COURT: All right. Would you sit in the other 23 room for a moment, please. 24 (Juror No. 39 out.) 25 THE COURT: Mr. Sullivan?

MR. SULLIVAN: Government moves to excuse the juror for cause. She is in obvious pain. While she can sit for a while, she would then need to stand. And then she said when she could stand that it would then make her tired. And she just said that she sleeps for hours and hours a day. And she just had surgery about a month ago, a hysterectomy. And we have a very large jury pool here and I think that --

THE COURT: Well, that's not the test.

MR. SULLIVAN: Well, okay, then I believe that she could not pay attention and give both sides a fair shake, including the government.

MR. WEBB: I object to excusing her. I think she doesn't want to be on this jury. I'm sympathetic to her pain, but she can take pain medication like the rest of us do in the world when we have something like this, and I don't think -- I object to excusing her.

THE COURT: I agree. I do note that she did not appear to be a person who was anxious to take medication for the problem that she's experiencing. And based upon my knowledge of the kind of surgery she described, it is most unusual for a person to have the kind of symptoms that she's describing this long after surgery without some kind of medical intervention. In fact, I want to ask another question to see whether or not it confirms my feelings in that regard. I want to ask her about her visits to the doctor. All right.

1 (Juror No. 39 in.) 2 THE COURT: Juror No. 39, are you still under doctor's 3 care? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 39: Yes. 5 THE COURT: When did you last see your doctor? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 39: Friday. Not last Friday 7 but the Friday before. And my next appointment is August 28th. 8 THE COURT: All right. And were you at that time 9 prescribed any medication? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 39: On that day, no. 11 THE COURT: On that date did you describe the symptoms 12 that you are having at this time? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 39: Yes. 14 THE COURT: And on the basis of that -- well, let me 15 go a little further. Did your physician offer to provide you 16 with any medication at that time? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 39: No. 18 THE COURT: Did you indicate to your physician that 19 you were having pain at that time? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 39: 21 THE COURT: Ordinarily in a situation like that have 22 you been asked to rate your level of discomfort of pain? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 39: Yes. 24 THE COURT: How did you rate your level of discomfort 25 or pain?

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 39: That day? 2 THE COURT: Yeah. 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 39: A 4. 4 THE COURT: And prior to that date, what level of pain 5 were you having, on a scale of 1 to 10? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 39: It's hard to say because on 7 the day of surgery it was very painful. I would call it a 9. 8 But in looking back, that pain was probably the worst, and I got 9 better and I would say I'm still at a 4. 10 THE COURT: And yesterday did you take any medication? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 39: I did. 12 THE COURT: Did it relieve your discomfort? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 39: Yes. 14 THE COURT: Today have you taken any medication? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 39: 16 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Would you return 17 to the courtroom, please? 18 (Juror No. 39 out.) 19 THE COURT: The decision stands. She's on for now. 20 MR. WEBB: Your Honor, there's two jurors that raised 21 their hands concerning whether they can serve for a week. Juror 22 No. 45, this is the lady who has the -- she does this crisis 23 stabilization process. She does not -- she doesn't know in 24 advance when she's needed. She cannot predict how much she 25 will -- when she will be called, and she didn't think her

1 supervisor would be able to deal with the issues. I would like 2 to explore this in a little more detail because I thought -- it 3 appeared to me that maybe there's a basis to excuse her for 4 hardship because of her employment. And I would ask if we could 5 to talk to her a little bit more, but I didn't want to do so in 6 open court, if we can do it in chambers. 7 MR. SULLIVAN: We don't object to having her excused 8 for hardship cause. She indicated a financial hardship because 9 of the three clients that she wanted to assist. 10 THE COURT: We'll explore it a little bit more. Your 11 position is noted. Would you call her in, please. 12 (Juror No. 45 in.) 13 THE COURT: Juror No. 45, you mentioned that you are 14 working with several clients as a crisis counselor? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 45: Right. 16 THE COURT: Can you tell us a little bit more about 17 your need to attend to their problems during the course of the 18 day? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 45: When I get a call, my duty 20 is to come to the home, talk to the mother, talk to the child. 21 THE COURT: So they are juveniles. 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 45: Yes. 23 THE COURT: You mentioned that you have someone who

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 45: Correct.

works with you as a supervisor; is that correct?

24

THE COURT: Now, if you were selected as a juror, 1 2 would you be able to contact your supervisor to let that person 3 know that you would not be able to handle those clients for the 4 next week? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 45: Yes. 6 THE COURT: Is there any reason why your supervisor 7 would not be able to fulfill your responsibilities if you were 8 devoting yourself to this case? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 45: Only if he has a caseload. 10 THE COURT: All right. Are you able to contact your 11 supervisor -- will you be able to contact your supervisor during 12 a break to verify your supervisor's availability? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 45: Yes, I can. 14 THE COURT: Okay. Do the parties have questions at 15 this time? 16 MR. SULLIVAN: None from the government. 17 MR. WEBB: No. 18 THE COURT: Would you step out there, please. 19 a chair for you. 20 (Juror No. 45 out.) 21 THE COURT: Mr. Webb? 22 I guess we should wait for her to contact 23 her supervisor and get back. I had been concerned as to whether 24 she would be able to pay attention to the evidence because of --

but if her supervisor, if it's no more than making a phone call,

1 if she gets called so she won't be taken off the jury, I have no 2 motion. 3 THE COURT: All right. 4 (Juror No. 45 in.) 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 45: I didn't mention to you 6 that it's a new job so I'm like on a probationary period. 7 just working like maybe 10 or 12 hours a week. 8 THE COURT: And you were unemployed previously? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 45: Right. I've been getting 10 unemployment and it stopped so I'm not getting unemployment. So 11 I'm just at a crisis where I need to --12 THE COURT: Would you lose substantial income if you were to serve as a juror? 13 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 45: Yes, I would. 15 THE COURT: And would that income be greater than any 16 fee that you would receive as a juror? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 45: Right. I wouldn't be 18 making no money. 19 THE COURT: Okay. Are you -- would you be paid 20 hourly? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 45: Yes. 22 THE COURT: Are you paid when you're on standby? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 45: No. 24 THE COURT: So your hourly rate would only apply to 25 the times that you would respond to the calls from --

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 45: Right. It's with 2 documentation and traveling too. 3 THE COURT: So at this point in time, it's unclear 4 exactly what your income would be say during the next week for 5 attending to one or more of these individuals; is that correct? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 45: Right. Uh-huh. 7 THE COURT: Would that income nonetheless be greater 8 than your fee as a juror in this case? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 45: It would be less. 10 THE COURT: So at this point in time, you just don't 11 know whether you would receive less income than your juror fee 12 or more income than your juror fee for the next week because of 13 the uncertainty of whether you will be called. 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 45: Right. 15 THE COURT: And if you receive a call during 16 off-hours, are you required to respond? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 45: Yes. 18 THE COURT: That is, after 6:00 in the evening or 19 before 8:00 in the morning? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 45: I'm required to respond 21 whenever they call. And I am responsible for making sure that 22 they have adequate -- go to, like, the facility for anger 23 management once a week. So I have three clients, so that's 24 15 hours regardless.

THE COURT: So you would be compensated regardless of

1 whether or not there's a crisis phone call. 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 45: Right. 3 THE COURT: Because you would have to take these 4 clients to these appointments. 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 45: 6 THE COURT: And return them home. 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 45: Right. 8 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Would you make that 9 phone call, please? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 45: Sure. 11 (Juror No. 45 out.) 12 THE COURT: Mr. Webb, does your view -- has your view 13 changed? Off the record. 14 MR. WEBB: Off the record. 15 (Discussion off the record.) 16 (Trial resumed in open court.) 17 THE COURT: Does the government have any questions of 18 the jury pool at this time? 19 MR. SULLIVAN: Yes, Your Honor. 20 Good afternoon, everyone. My name is John Sullivan. 21 I'm an attorney with the United States Department of Justice. 22 just have a few follow-up questions. 23 Obviously the government is looking for jurors who 24 cannot only agree but promise to decide the case based solely on 25 the evidence presented in the courtroom. And in that regard, I

1 want to just focus in on potential biases and prejudices and potential sympathy issues that might come up. 2 3 And I just want to reintroduce Special Agent Geoffrey Cook of the IRS. 4 5 This is a criminal tax case. Every defendant is 6 entitled to a clean slate, a fair trial, but the government is 7 also entitled to jurors who will agree to not only base their verdict on the evidence but on the law. 8 9 And I note that Juror No. 17, is that -- sir, do you still own a small business? 10 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 17: Correct. 12 MR. SULLIVAN: And because you own that small 13 business, I take it you have to pay a lot of taxes to a lot of 14 different government authorities. 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 17: Correct. 16 MR. SULLIVAN: And you probably don't enjoy that; is 17 that --18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 17: Correct. 19 (General laughter.) 20 THE COURT: He's smiling. 21 MR. SULLIVAN: If anyone enjoys paying taxes, would 22 you please raise your hand? 23 (General laughter.) 24 MR. SULLIVAN: Sir, is it -- because this involves a 25 tax case, is it -- could you put to the side -- if you believed

1 that the tax rates were too high or that there was too much government red tape, could you put that aside and just base your 2 3 verdict, if you're selected, on the evidence and the law as 4 instructed by the court? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 17: I believe I could. 6 MR. SULLIVAN: And so you could then promise to do 7 that. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 17: Yes. 8 9 Instead of asking everyone else who had MR. SULLIVAN: 10 a small business, is there anyone that feels that they paid so 11 much in taxes that they couldn't promise to be fair and 12 impartial and give the government a fair shake in this case? 13 And the IRS. By the way, as I mentioned --14 THE COURT: Both sides, not just the government. 15 MR. SULLIVAN: Well, both sides. But I mean on the 16 taxation issue. Is there anyone that has very strong feelings 17 about our system of taxation which would prevent them from being 18 fair and impartial to not only the government but both sides? 19 (No response.) 20 MR. SULLIVAN: Okay. 21 THE COURT: One second. Be seated. 22 (Juror No. 45 enters the courtroom.) 23 THE COURT: Mr. Sullivan, would you ask that last 24 question of Juror No. 45? 25 MR. SULLIVAN: Ma'am, this is a criminal tax case and

it implicates the tax laws of the United States, and one of the government representatives is an IRS agent.

Do you have strong feelings about paying taxes?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 45: Well, I've been paying taxes all my life. No, I don't. I disagree with some of the things that our taxes are being used for, but --

MR. SULLIVAN: But do you understand that this case here doesn't have anything to do with that? This has to do with whether or not the government can prove its case beyond a reasonable doubt. Could you put that aside, any notions you have --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 45: Oh, yes, I could put that aside.

MR. SULLIVAN: That's basically the bias, that we would not -- we wouldn't want a juror who was biased against the government.

So moving on to prejudice, Juror No. 30? Based on your questionnaire, it seems that you might have a problem with a defendant who was wealthy.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 30: I was reviewing that question. There's certain frustrations at times with me feeling like I have to work hard and there are other -- I don't really have -- sorry. I'm frustrated by sometimes working hard and not feeling like I can get ahead. At the same time, I really feel that people who work hard and are rewarded for their working

1 hard should be able to get what they want, a reward for working 2 hard. 3 MR. SULLIVAN: Do you understand that this case isn't 4 about how hard somebody worked, it's more about whether somebody 5 complied with the tax laws? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 30: Yes. 7 MR. SULLIVAN: Can you set aside your feelings 8 about -- maybe about how hard people have to work in this 9 country to get ahead and just focus and decide this case based 10 on the evidence and the law as instructed? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 30: Yes. 12 MR. SULLIVAN: Is there anyone else that might have --13 and that goes to prejudice. If you don't like this tie here, 14 folks, can you put that aside and decide this case based on the 15 evidence? 16 (No response.) 17 MR. SULLIVAN: Thank you, Juror No. 30. 18 Juror No. 26. You indicated that you had a case. Is 19 that next week? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 26: The bankruptcy case? 21 MR. SULLIVAN: Yes. 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 26: That's tomorrow. 23 MR. SULLIVAN: Tomorrow? Okay. I really don't have a 24 question for you. 25 And seeing it's about 12:30, that's all the questions

1 I have, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: All right. Before we -- we are going to 3 take a luncheon break very shortly, but I neglected to ask 4 several things and I want to find out before we break whether 5 any of you know any of the people whose names I will read off. 6 CPA Mark W. Miller of Brookfield. 7 Andrea Heise, H-E-I-S-E, a CPA. 8 Does anyone know anyone with Kolb+Co Financial 9 Advisors? 10 All right, Number 47. 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 47: Yes, sir. Juror No. 47. 12 As far as Kolb, I know a CPA that -- he was employed by Kolb+Co. 13 THE COURT: You know a CPA who previously was with 14 that company? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 47: Yes, sir. 16 THE COURT: And in what way have you had association 17 with that CPA? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 47: He is my mother's 19 accountant. 20 THE COURT: All right. Beyond that, you don't know 21 too much about this individual. Is that what you're telling us? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 47: I don't know anything --23 any of his duties with Kolb other than he was employed by them. 24 THE COURT: Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with

what was done for your mother by this person who was with Kolb?

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 47: He's still with my mother, 2 so --3 THE COURT: All right, thank you. 4 Thomas E. Branch, director of finance of Neurosurgery and Endovascular Associates of Milwaukee. 5 6 Ramit Bhasin. Is that the correct pronunciation? 7 Patrick J. Ruby, R-U-B-Y, of Chicago. 8 Ronald Braver, B-R-A-V-E-R, of Chicago. 9 Mark Ambrosius, A-M-B-R-O-S-I-U-S, of Florida. 10 Bradden C. Backer of Albrecht Backer Labor & 11 Employment Law SC of Milwaukee. Does anyone know him? 12 Otherwise known as Brad Backer. 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 34: Yes, I know Brad Backer. 14 THE COURT: In what way? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 34: His mother was a colleague 16 of mine at MATC, and I have seen him on occasion and I consider 17 him an acquaintance and we're very friendly when we see each 18 other. 19 THE COURT: How often have you seen Mr. Backer in the 20 past? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 34: I probably seen him about 22 once every two to three years. 23 THE COURT: And do you have any strong feelings about 24 Mr. Backer one way or the other? 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 34: I think he's a good guy.

```
1
     like him. I liked his mother. I like his dad.
 2
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
 3
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 34: You're welcome.
 4
               THE COURT: Dr. David L. Coran, C-O-R-A-N, of
5
     Milwaukee.
6
               Jefferson V. DeAngelis, D-E capital A-N-G-E-L-I-S, of
7
     Milwaukee.
8
               Dr. Neil Guenther, G-U-E-N-T-H-E-R.
9
               Jane Parthum, P-A-R-T-H-U-M, a nurse of Milwaukee.
10
               Dr. Mandeep K. -- how do you pronounce his name? --
11
     Rai, R-A-I, of Arizona.
12
               Dr. Kenneth Reichert, R-E-I-C-H-E-R-T, of Waukesha.
13
               Becky Slivinski, S-L-I-V-I-N-S-K-I, of Milwaukee.
14
     Actually, River Hills.
15
               Thomas -- correction, Christopher Thomas Jr., of
16
     New York.
17
               Pamela D. Forrest of Indiana.
18
               Laurie Johnson of Minneapolis.
19
               Jose Martinez of New York.
20
               Jenny Miller of Alaska.
21
               Amy -- I'm not going to try to pronounce this --
22
     C-H-I-O-N-C-H-I-O, of Milwaukee.
23
               Kumar Iyer, I-Y-E-R, of Milwaukee.
24
               Is there any individual whose name I did not mention?
25
               MR. SULLIVAN: Ms. Vandana Katju, K-A-T-J-U, who is
```

1 the HSBC banker from San Francisco, California. 2 THE COURT: Is there any additional name that should be added to the list at this time? The last name is Katju. 3 She's from California. 4 5 (No response.) 6 THE COURT: Mr. Webb, in light of the questions that 7 were asked by the government and what we discussed in the jury 8 room, do you believe that your voir dire will take more than a 9 few minutes? 10 MR. WEBB: I believe it would take about the same 11 estimate I gave Your Honor in chambers.

THE COURT: All right. Well, considering the time and the fact that people have not eaten, I do ask that you return no later than 1:25. You are not to discuss this case or anything that we have said in this courtroom with anyone. You're not to do any research concerning this case. You're not to surf the Internet or do any surfing down at the lakefront. I will see you in about 45 minutes.

THE BAILIFF: All rise.

(Jury panel out at 12:38 p.m.)

THE COURT: Kris, Juror No. 45 I want to wait for a second.

(Brief pause.)

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Be seated, please. You may be seated.

Did Mr. Webb leave, do you know?

1 MS. ALLEN: Yeah, I'll go get him. 2 (Brief pause.) 3 (Discussion off the record.) THE COURT: We'll take a break. I don't want to 4 5 deprive you of your lunch. We'll take it up after lunch. 6 (Lunch recess taken at 12:41 p.m., until 1:35 p.m. 7 Trial resumed in open court, in the presence of the jury panel.) 8 THE COURT: Mr. Webb? 9 MR. WEBB: Yes, Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: You may proceed. 11 MR. WEBB: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. 12 Welcome back from lunch. 13 And as you can tell, the court is now giving me an 14 opportunity on behalf of my client to ask you some questions 15 during jury selection. My name is Dan Webb. I'm one of the 16 lawyers that will be representing Dr. Ahuja during the course of 17 this trial. 18 And I think most of you have probably already figured 19 out that what we're doing here is that the lawyers are trying to 20 find people that can serve on this jury that right now believe 21 that you can be completely fair and impartial to both sides in 22 this case. People that honestly can say right now that they are 23 totally open-minded. 24 Because when we start a trial, you ultimately, as 25

jurors, must make a verdict on only one thing, which is the

 evidence that you actually hear during the course of the trial.

So if there are people that are in a process like this who, because of just preconceived feelings or notions or beliefs or ideas that would cause you right now based on what you know to think to yourself, "I might favor one side over the other," then we'd like to know about that.

And that's why I'm going to ask you some general questions, and then because I have your questionnaires, I'm going to go into some specific questions of some of you just to do some follow-up from the questionnaires.

But as I ask you questions, all I respectfully say to you is that, number one, there are no right or wrong answers. There are none. These are questions about how you think, how you feel, what your notions and ideas are; whether you have any feelings or ideas that maybe we should know about because maybe it could affect whether or not you're the right people for this jury. There could be other cases that you might be more right for than this one, and you can tell us about that.

So what I want to do is just ask you to kind of dig down deep as I ask you questions and think about whether or not there's things that you think would be helpful for Dr. Ahuja and his lawyers to know as we go through this process.

Now, let me start with this question: You now know that the government has formally charged Dr. Ahuja with filing false income tax returns.

And we know from our everyday experiences in life that sometimes there are people that believe that if the federal government has gone to the trouble of formally charging somebody with a federal crime, that maybe that person did do something

wrong.

Maybe.

Maybe.

And then if that were the case, the government would be starting out a half step ahead of us. If you look at this case like a race, we both need to be right together at the starting blocks, exactly even. No one should be a half a step ahead of the other. Because that would not be the way we should start any fair race. Both should be exactly equal on the starting lines.

Is there anyone, anyone at all, who because the federal government has charged Dr. Ahuja formally with a federal crime of filing false tax returns -- is there anything about that in and of itself that just causes you to say to yourself, "You know, maybe the federal government is starting out just a little bit ahead of Dr. Ahuja"? If anyone at all feels that way, just raise your hands, and we'll talk about it.

(No response.)

MR. WEBB: Okay. Now, let me ask you another general question of the whole group.

The government has the burden of proof in this case because they brought this case. The defendant has no burden of proof. The government has to prove all of the elements of the

crime of filing a false tax return beyond a reasonable doubt.

Beyond a reasonable doubt. And the defendant is presumed to be innocent at all times.

Until you actually go back to the jury room to deliberate and become convinced that the government has proven its case beyond a reasonable doubt, you are to accept the presumption of innocence, and it doesn't leave throughout the trial as you hear witnesses. Dr. Ahuja is presumed to be innocent and under our law has no obligation, zero obligation, to present any evidence whatsoever.

There are people that just believe that having someone presumed to be innocent under those circumstances all the way through the process, that that's just a bit too much, and they really don't like that. And they don't feel comfortable in a case like that.

And so all I'm going to ask you: Is there anything about what I just said to you about the presumption of innocence and the government having the burden of proof that causes any of you just to say to yourself, "Do you know what, I don't feel real comfortable about that. Maybe Dr. Ahuja is starting a little bit behind"? Anyone feel that way at all? Just raise your hand.

(No response.)

MR. WEBB: Let me go to another general question.

Dr. Ahuja was born in India. And his family moved to

the United States when he was 13 years old. They settled at Ohio. He got his early education in Ohio. Became a citizen of the United States at age 18, went on and got to school, medical degree, and has been practicing neurosurgery here in Milwaukee for quite a while.

There are people who believe that our government is too lax in letting people outside the United States come into the United States and live in the United States. They just believe that. It's okay. Everyone has a right to have beliefs like that.

And so the question is: Is there anything about Dr. Ahuja's background of coming here as a young man from India and what I just told you -- is there anything about that that just causes any of you to say to yourself, "Ah, you know, it bothers me a little bit"? Anyone at all? Just raise your hand.

(No response.)

MR. WEBB: One last general question, then I'll get more specific and go through some issues on your questionnaire.

Some of you may generally be aware that people who were born in India usually follow a religious belief of either Muslim or Hindu. And Dr. Ahuja and his family are Hindus.

And I just want to ask whether -- is there anything about Dr. Ahuja's religious background as a practicing Hindu that just causes any of you to say that you have a little bit of a bias about that, and if you do, I'd like to know about it.

Anyone at all? If you do, just raise your hand.

(No response.)

MR. WEBB: Well, that's all my general questions. I just wanted to kind of make sure that we're all on a level playing field as we start our trial. And what I'd like to do now is, because I have your questionnaires, I'd like to go through the questionnaires. And some of you I have a few questions of, not many, but there's a number of jurors I have a few questions of, and some I don't have any questions of. But let me just start and go through it.

Juror No. 2, I talked to you a little bit this morning, and I just wanted to -- I've read your questionnaire over, and I just had a couple of questions I wanted to ask you.

On your questionnaire, you had -- there was a question 29, which I'm going to read -- I think you -- do you have your questionnaire there?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: I do.

MR. WEBB: Question 29 dealt with: Evidence may be presented that Defendant Dr. Arvind Ahuja, who's charged with tax fraud, reported over \$65 million in income during the years in question. Do you feel you might have any difficulty being completely fair and impartial in a case where a wealthy person is charged with tax fraud.

And you candidly answered "Yes."

Do you see that?

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: Yeah. I checked "No" first. 2 And I was trying to be as honest as I could. 3 MR. WEBB: I thought you dug a little deeper and 4 thought maybe the answer was "Yes." Is that fair? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: That's fair. 6 And your answer was, "I would like to say I MR. WEBB: 7 wouldn't, but it was hard to read that statement and not raise 8 my eyebrows over the amount of money he made." 9 And so I appreciate that candor. 10 And then I notice also, by the way, question 36. 11 you see question 36? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: Uh-huh. 13 MR. WEBB: Would you like to serve on this jury, and you said, "No." 14 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: Uh-huh. 16 THE COURT: Is that a "yes"? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: That was a "no." 18 MR. WEBB: Pardon me? 19 THE COURT: I just wanted her to clarify her answer 20 because she said "uh-huh." And "uh-huh" doesn't translate into 21 a "yes" or "no." 22 I'm sorry. MR. WEBB: 23 You checked the box "No"? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: I did. 25 MR. WEBB: And you stated that "I've worked for the

1 State of Wisconsin for 10 years, and as a government employee, 2 we are first -- we are hit first when times are rough. 3 person may have taken advantage of the system, although he was 4 making millions of dollars. That is a bit upsetting." 5 And so I took it from those two answers that I read 6 off that, as we start this case right now, because of those 7 facts you may not be able to be completely fair and impartial. 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: Is that a question? 9 MR. WEBB: Well, do you feel like you can be fair and 10 impartial? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: I feel like I may not be 12 able to be fair and impartial. 13 MR. WEBB: Pardon me? I'm sorry. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: May not be able to be fair 14 15 and impartial. 16 That's what I thought. I know that you're 17 not certain of that because maybe you could be, but you do have 18 some doubt in your mind that you may not be able to be fair and 19 impartial. 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: T do. 21 MR. WEBB: Thank you for telling me that. 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: You're very welcome. 23 MR. WEBB: One other thing I asked you this morning, 24 which I don't think I need to go in, but that conference that

you have next week, that --

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: I have one this week and 2 next week. 3 MR. WEBB: Someone's handling it this week, and next 4 week is the real problem for you. And you told us that that 5 might interfere with your ability to really concentrate on the 6 evidence in this case to some extent. 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: Correct. 8 MR. WEBB: And that's because you take your job very 9 seriously, and that's your responsibility to be there; is that 10 right? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: It is. 12 MR. WEBB: Thank you very much. 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: You're welcome. 14 MR. WEBB: Now, Juror No. 6. How are you? 15 Now, remember, I'm not allowed to use your names, 16 okay. So I'm not trying to be in any way rude, but I'm supposed 17 to call you by your juror number, and so I will do that. 18 As far as -- I was looking over your questionnaire, 19 and I just had a couple of follow-up questions. 20 Do you have your questionnaire handy? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 6: I do, yes. 22 MR. WEBB: Question number 11 was that you have had 23 work experience or training that deals with compliance with 24 rules and regulations.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 6: Correct, yes.

1 2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

MR. WEBB: Can you talk to me a little bit more about that? What does that relate to?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 6: I am a registered professional engineer for the State of Wisconsin. I am a civil engineer. And I would say on a daily basis I am dealing with permits on federal level, state level, local level, in particular federal emergency management agency, FEMA; Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources; Wisconsin Department of Transportation; the local governments. Mainly we regulate, control and flood plain, and that kind of thing.

MR. WEBB: Now I do understand.

So now because you deal with rules and regulations, do you find sometimes that you feel like -- and because of your engineering background, are you pretty particular about making sure that everything should be done just according to the rules and whatever the rules say you should do; is that kind of -talk to me. How do you feel about that? Do you find rules sometimes vague and indefinite and not as easy to follow as you might want?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 6: How I really feel is it all depends on the regulator, but you're more likely to get a permit approved if you follow the letter of the law. There are certain exceptions to the rule that we present our case as to why we made an exception to the rule, and I'm more than willing to do that for the client, too.

1 MR. WEBB: Okay. And one last question. There was a 2 question on the questionnaire, question number 30, and the 3 question was: If you were the Defendant Dr. Arvind Ahuja, how 4 comfortable would you be having you as a juror in this case, and 5 you checked "very comfortable." 6 Tell me why you checked it that way. 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 6: I checked it that way 8 because I really feel I am impartial to this potential case. 9 don't really have much extensive knowledge of the tax laws. So 10 whatever would be presented to me would be somewhat new 11 evidence. And I would be able to weigh that in a fair, balanced 12 manner. 13 MR. WEBB: And you're convinced that you can be a 14 juror in this case and ultimately decide the case just based on 15 the evidence that gets presented. Is that how you feel? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 6: That is how I feel. 17 MR. WEBB: Thank you. That's all I have. 18 Juror No. 8. How are you today? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 8: Good. 20 MR. WEBB: I had a couple of follow-up questions, if I 21 could. If you look at your questionnaire and look at 22 question 29. 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 8: Yes. 24 MR. WEBB: And this was the same question I had asked

about earlier that: Dr. Ahuja has reported over \$65 million in

1 income during the years in question, and do you feel you might 2 have any difficulty in being completely fair and impartial in a 3 case where a wealthy person is charged with tax fraud. 4 And you didn't check "yes" or "no." 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 8: 6 MR. WEBB: Go ahead. You were a little uncertain 7 about that? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 8: Well, when I read that 9 amount, to me that's an extremely high amount of money. 10 Something that I can't relate to. 11 MR. WEBB: I understand. 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 8: But, again, if I was 13 selected, I would do my very best to be objective. 14 MR. WEBB: And the answer you gave was, you said, 15 "Unknown." You didn't know whether you could answer "yes" or 16 "no," but "I would try to be impartial"; is that correct? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 8: Yes. 18 MR. WEBB: But as I ask you to kind of dig down deep 19 and we're trying to find people who could be completely fair and 20 impartial, is there a little nagging doubt in your mind because 21 you can't wrap your mind around that much money, that maybe, 22 just maybe you can't be completely fair and impartial? Is there 23 a little doubt there? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 8: I have no experience in

doing this. So, again, if I were in the other person's shoes, I

1 would certainly hope that I would be judged by others who were 2 being as objective as they could be. 3 Do I have a nagging? A little bit, a little bit, just 4 because we're seated here and you mentioned that the government 5 initiated this process, correct? 6 MR. WEBB: Yes. Yes. 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 8: Why was that? Why? Why was 8 that started? 9 MR. WEBB: Right. And so that kind of sticks in your 10 mind a little bit? That's all I'm asking. 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 8: It's a red flag. Something 12 happened, right? 13 MR. WEBB: And so because something happened, you have 14 a little nagging doubt. 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 8: But I also haven't been 16 presented information to me to make an informed decision. 17 MR. WEBB: I understand. That's fine. But because of 18 the charges and his wealth, you have a little bit of a nagging 19 doubt as to whether you could be completely fair and impartial, 20 and that's how you feel. 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 8: Perhaps a bit. 22 MR. WEBB: Now, one other question. Question 33, I

think, touches upon the last part of your answer where -- I

think; is that right? That you're -- the last part of your

answer is that -- you answered question 33 that: "If he were

23

24

totally not guilty, why is he being brought to trial"; that was your question, right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 8: When I wrote that, I don't know if I had worded that correctly. But, again, it's simply a matter of we're here for a reason and something occurred.

MR. WEBB: I understand. You're trying to be as candid as you possibly can answering questions and in a somewhat unfamiliar experience being here, right? Fair enough.

I also noticed question 36. When asked if you would like to serve on the jury, you said "No." That's your answer.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 8: Right.

MR. WEBB: And it would be difficult to travel from West Bend daily. So was that something -- talk to me a little bit about that.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 8: Well, at the time that I was filling this out, I wasn't feeling too optimistic about having to break out of my routine and, you know, do something out of the ordinary that, obviously, we all have sacrifices that we've made to do this. But, again, you need people that need to do this, so I understand that.

MR. WEBB: Thank you. That's all I had. Thank you.

Juror No. 9. There you are down there. How are you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 9: Good.

MR. WEBB: I was -- just a couple -- question number 35. The question was: Is there anything else about your

1 ability to serve as a juror that you think the judge and the 2 parties should know? And you said, "None. I would be a good 3 juror, timing is tough." 4 Just talk, what was the timing issue that you're concerned about? 5 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 9: Just work-related right now. 7 MR. WEBB: Work-related? And that's something -- I 8 also noticed that you answered question 36 by talking about 9 "This is a critical time period at work and would create 10 hardship for coworkers." Is that right? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 9: It would create some 12 hardships, yes. MR. WEBB: Explain what's the hardship. I want you to 13 14 explain that for us. 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 9: I'm in retail. And this 16 period of time right now it's back to school season. It's 17 bigger than our Christmas season. So every day making decisions 18 on --19 THE COURT: Please move your mic closer. 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 9: I'm sorry. 21 Every day making budget decisions and supervising 22 So it certainly will be a sacrifice. But one I would 23 be willing to make. It's just a busy time at work.

MR. WEBB: I noticed you checked "No" as far as

whether you want to serve on the jury, and so let me ask you

24

1 this question: With the pressures at work and your concern 2 about coworkers, do you think that that might interfere a little 3 bit about your ability to concentrate and pay attention to the 4 evidence because of your concerns about work? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 9: Not at all. 6 MR. WEBB: Okay. Good. Okay. Thank you. That's all 7 I had. 8 Juror No. 17. How are you, sir? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 17: Fine. Thanks. 10 MR. WEBB: I just also had a couple of follow-up 11 questions. On question number 9, I don't know if you have your 12 questionnaire handy there, sir. 13 Have you ever owned your own business? You said, 14 "Yes." Can you talk to me a little bit, tell me about that 15 business and just give me a little information about that. 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 17: Sure. I work full-time in 17 addition to that business. That's a family business. I'm in 18 partnership with my father and my brother. So that is also a 19 second job. 20 MR. WEBB: You still have that business today; is that 21 correct? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 17: That's correct. 23 MR. WEBB: Can you describe that business to us in 24 just a little more detail?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 17: Sure. It's a nursery, tree

1 2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

nursery, that is in the northern part of state and occupies most of my weekends and free time.

MR. WEBB: Okay. Question number 30, sir, on the questionnaire, the question about: If you were the defendant -let's me give you a chance to find it there.

If you were the Defendant Dr. Arvind Ahuja, how comfortable would you be having you as a juror in this case, and your choices were "very comfortable," "comfortable," and you checked off "somewhat comfortable."

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 17: Correct.

MR. WEBB: And I saw that. And since I represent Dr. Ahuja, is there something about the nature of this case -why did you check that answer?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 17: Putting myself in his shoes, I don't know if I could be comfortable with anybody being on the jury.

> Was there anything in particular about you? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 17: No, not specifically.

So you weren't thinking about yourself and MR. WEBB: whether or not he would be uncomfortable about you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 17: Correct.

MR. WEBB: Let me just ask you. Is there anything at all about the nature of this case or anything you know so far -because you haven't heard any evidence -- that causes you any concern about whether you can be fair and impartial?

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 17: Nothing that I've heard so 2 far. 3 MR. WEBB: Okay. Thank you very much. 4 Juror No. 18. How are you today? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 18: Fine. Thank you. 6 And, again, just a couple of questions. MR. WEBB: 7 looking at your questionnaire, you indicated that -- question 8 number 13, that your spouse worked or has worked for the 9 Department of Justice, FBI; is that correct? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 18: Yes, sir. 11 MR. WEBB: Just tell me a little bit about his job and 12 what his position was. 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 18: He worked in the radio room 14 as a dispatcher down here in Milwaukee at the FBI. 15 MR. WEBB: And is he still working there? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 18: No, sir, he's not. 17 retired the 1st of December. 18 How many years did he work for the FBI? MR. WEBB: 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 18: About three years. 20 MR. WEBB: Okay. And in this case the federal 21 government, obviously, is bringing this case against my client, 22 and IRS Special Agent Cook will be testifying in this case. 23 you'll be eventually instructed in this case that all 24 witnesses -- all the witnesses should be judged the same as all

others as far as you make the judgment about credibility, but

some people believe that people in law enforcement, because 1 2 they're in law enforcement, have a leg up, that people tend to 3 say, "Well, I might believe a law enforcement officer more 4 easily than a different type of witness. 5 Do you have any thoughts about that because of your 6 husband's FBI background? 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 18: No, sir. I don't think he 8 has influenced me in any way with his position that he had with 9 the FBI. 10 MR. WEBB: Okay. I also noticed question number 11, 11 that you have some experience with compliance with rules and 12 regulations. Can you explain that to me? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 18: Yes. I was in the military 14 and almost everything we do is based on regulations. 15 MR. WEBB: You were in the Air National Guard? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 18: Yes, sir. 17 MR. WEBB: Training manager. 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 18: Yes, sir. 19 MR. WEBB: They have rules and regulations --20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 18: For everything. 21 MR. WEBB: For everything. Did you ever find it 22 difficult because you thought some rule or regulation might not 23 be as clear as you thought it should be but -- and maybe --24 wasn't as easy to follow as folks might have thought?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 18: Oh, definitely, but being

1 in the military, when it comes down to a rule or regulation, you 2 can question it, but there's usually somebody higher up that 3 will give you directive on exactly what to do. 4 MR. WEBB: Fair enough. That's all the questions I 5 have. 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 18: Thank you. 7 MR. WEBB: Let me ask that question -- no, not you. 8 I'm sorry. I didn't mean -- I thought maybe I should just ask 9 everyone here. 10 That issue about this is the federal government and 11 Agent Cook will testify. Is there anyone here who just because 12 of your -- the way you feel about things, the fact that he's a 13 law enforcement agent would cause you to want to give more 14 credibility to Agent Cook than you might to any other witness? 15 Anyone feel that way that you want to talk to me about that? 16 (No response.) 17 MR. WEBB: I'm not going to go through each one of you 18 individually. That's -- thank you. 19 Now, let me go on. Juror No. 19. I was looking at 20 question number 11 on your questionnaire. 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 19: Yes, sir. 22 MR. WEBB: And it's the question, the same question, 23 you checked off that you have some experience and training with

rules and regulations. Just talk to me a little bit about that.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 19: Correct. I'm a treasurer

24

1 for a local union. I've been doing that for the last 15 years. 2 I do all the accounting, reporting to the Department of Labor, 3 Treasury, IRS, state reporting, all that stuff I've been doing since '97. 4 5 MR. WEBB: Okay. Now, on question number 30 on your 6 questionnaire, the same question I asked about just a couple 7 minutes ago. Question number 30, which is: If you were the 8 Defendant Dr. Arvind Ahuja, how comfortable would you be having 9 you as a juror in this case, and you did not check off very 10 comfortable, you did not check off comfortable, but you checked 11 off "somewhat comfortable." 12 Can you tell me why you checked that box? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 19: I would go back to what 14 juror 17 said. I had the same feelings. I wouldn't be 15 comfortable being in his spot no matter who was on the jury. 16 MR. WEBB: Fair enough. And there's nothing that you 17 know of about you and your feelings and beliefs that should 18 cause Dr. Ahuja to be concerned about your ability to be 19 completely fair and impartial to him. 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 19: 21 MR. WEBB: None at all. 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 19: None. 23 MR. WEBB: Thank you.

Thank you.

Juror No. 23. How are you, sir?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 23: Good.

24

MR. WEBB: I was looking at question number 9 on your questionnaire about had you ever owned your own business, and you said that you had. Can you tell me a little bit about that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 23: I started the business

19 years ago. That's why I still work today.

MR. WEBB: And give me -- give me some details about that business.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 23: We do consulting work for federal contractors. What we do is assist them in complying with some regulations issued by the U.S. Department of Labor through its office of Federal Contract Compliance Programs.

We put together affirmative action plans by doing a series of statistic reports and then help our clients to go through regulatory review when they are contacted by this special agency.

MR. WEBB: Look at question 15, if you have it handy there, sir. Question 15 was: Have you or has someone close to you ever had a bad experience with, and there are some folks listed there, and one of them was a bad experience with a surgeon.

And you indicated -- and I don't mean to get into any detail about this, but your wife had some surgery that failed, and talk to me a little about what that was, but I'm not trying to pry into anything real personal. But is there anything -- kind a tell me what happened.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 23: Without going into extensive details, my wife had gone to the doctor to have some surgery, was told that the surgery that she would have would fix her specific problems and there would be no ongoing issues after that. It turns out that the doctor did not give her complete information. That while he might have fixed her immediate problem, the surgery caused other issues. Those had not been disclosed to her or to us ahead of time.

MR. WEBB: I'm not going to ask you about the details. Is there anything about that experience because of -- Dr. Ahuja is a doctor, a neurosurgeon. Anything about that experience with the medical profession that you think in some way might affect your ability to be fair and impartial to Dr. Ahuja?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 23: No. As with any profession, there are very good capable people at very profession. There are people within every profession that make mistakes and are not as capable.

MR. WEBB: Speaking of that, you had had an issue with an accountant at one time. Tell me a little bit about that.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 23: That was a much simpler situation. The accountant simply failed to file some paperwork and caused some fairly minor issues in terms of having to refile some tax forms. And, ultimately, I found another accountant who was able to get the proper paperwork filed. We had some very minor penalties associated with the failure to file the initial

tax form.

MR. WEBB: And I think there will be some testimony from the CPAs in this case. There's nothing about that experience that would affect you to be fair and impartial in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 23: No, sir.

MR. WEBB: Thank you very much.

Juror No. 30. How are you, sir?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 30: Good.

MR. WEBB: I had a couple questions from your questionnaire that I wanted to follow up on. If I could, you also answered a question on your questionnaire about your mother-in-law had some surgery that went bad?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 30: Yes.

MR. WEBB: And I don't want to pry into your mother-in-law's personal health issues. Can you tell me a little bit about it? But you don't have to go into much detail.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 30: She had pain, and one doctor, when they thought he could take care of something, that he did not do a good job at, she had to have it fixed later. So it was a stressful time for her and as a result the family.

MR. WEBB: Now, was there anything about that experience -- Dr. Ahuja is, obviously, a surgeon and part of the medical profession. Is there anything about that experience that would affect your ability to be fair and impartial to

1 Dr. Ahuja? 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 30: No. 3 MR. WEBB: Now, on question -- do you have your 4 questionnaire there? 5 Don't worry about it. That's no problem. 6 Question number 29 was a question that basically asked 7 you: After telling you about Dr. Ahuja and his financial 8 success, do you feel you might have any difficulty being 9 completely fair and impartial in a case where a wealthy person 10 is charged with tax fraud? 11 And you did check "yes" to that; is that correct? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 30: I did check "yes." 13 MR. WEBB: And you wrote down that you're frustrated 14 with the rich getting richer. 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 30: Yes, that's what I wrote. 16 MR. WEBB: I take it that was an honest answer that 17 you gave; is that correct? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 30: Yes. 19 MR. WEBB: And do you think that because of the fact 20 of Dr. Ahuja's wealth that's part of this case and the fact that 21 he's being charged with tax crimes that when you checked yes to 22 that question -- was that because you actually thought you would 23 have some difficulty? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 30: I think it was frustration

coming out just because I've worked so hard, and I don't feel

25

like I can get ahead. And so the rich getting richer commentwas the frustration coming out in there.

MR. WEBB: And so when you answered the question that you might have some difficulty being fair and impartial, that was an honest answer.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 30: Yes.

MR. WEBB: And that's fine. Thank you very much, sir.

Number 34. Hi, sir.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 34: Hi

MR. WEBB: Do you have your questionnaire handy? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 34: I do.

MR. WEBB: Question number 15 talked about that you had had some bad experiences with a lawyer. Do you see that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 34: Yes.

MR. WEBB: And, again, I don't think I need a lot of detail, but can you give me just a little insight into that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 34: This was an employment issue, and I was supervising a small office. One of the employees had not been, in our opinion, performing her duties. And so we fired her, and we went to arbitration over that.

The attorney we hired to represent us in the arbitration I felt was -- didn't listen to us, was extremely arrogant. We subsequently lost the case. We had to take her back. Two years later we fired her again with another lawyer who listened to us, and we succeeded in getting her out of the

office.

MR. WEBB: Anything about that experience that you think would have any impact on how you view this case, the lawyers in this case, or the case at all?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 34: Absolutely not. My father was a lawyer. Several of my brothers are lawyers. I deal with lawyers, both good and bad, all the time.

MR. WEBB: So you're not going to hold it against us that some of us are lawyers.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 34: Absolutely not.

MR. WEBB: You had had an issue with a bank one time. Again, you don't have to give me a lot of detail, but was that --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 34: My wife just had some problems with her one-person office, and she's had some problems with her bank that I heard a lot about. And so that's why I checked that.

MR. WEBB: Okay. And a bill collector?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 34: Oh, yeah. The bill collectors who call. When they turn the file over to the people who call you constantly on the phone and you think you've taken care of the problem and they harass you on the phone.

MR. WEBB: You're not real happy about that all the time, are you, when that happens?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 34: No. I don't know anyone

who is.

MR. WEBB: None of us are. And the healthcare provider, an issue?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 34: Yes. Just a good friend had a back problem and his HMO fought -- it, essentially, disabled him for a period of about three years. His HMO defeated him in that case, and I thought he was treated unfairly. I only heard his side of the story, but he's someone I trust.

MR. WEBB: And you did check the box that you thought you would be very comfortable if you were Dr. Ahuja having you on this case. Tell me why you say that.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 34: I'm an impartial person.

I'm open minded and think about things and judge them on what
the evidence is.

MR. WEBB: Thank you very much.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 34: You're quite welcome.

MR. WEBB: Juror No. 37. How are you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 37: Good. Thanks.

MR. WEBB: Just a couple of questions. On your questionnaire, question number 5, in connection with your work as in the accounting field, have you had any connection or involvement much with tax preparation or tax issues?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 37: Not really, no.

MR. WEBB: Okay. Well, you said that you deal with

1 government regulations while working at a law firm? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 37: Yes. 2 MR. WEBB: Tell me about that. 3 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 37: We did criminal tax, and 5 pretty much I helped my paralegals requesting information from 6 the IRS and the government. FOIAs and things like that. 7 MR. WEBB: So when you did work for the law firm, you 8 did some work on criminal tax cases? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 37: Pretty much assisting. 10 Mostly administrative. 11 MR. WEBB: This is a criminal tax case. 12 obviously aware of that. 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 37: Yes. 14 MR. WEBB: Is there anything about your experience in 15 the law firm with criminal tax cases that you think could affect 16 your ability to be completely fair and impartial in this case? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 37: I don't think so. 18 MR. WEBB: You said you don't think so. Is there 19 anything. 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 37: I'm sure. 21 MR. WEBB: I didn't know if there was anything in the 22 back of your mind. Nothing stands out in your mind that you 23 think would be a problem. 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 37: No. 25 MR. WEBB: Okay. Now, I know your father worked as a

1 police officer or used to work; is that correct? 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 37: Correct. 3 MR. WEBB: And fathers are very special. So he was a 4 policeman, and we have law enforcement involved in this case. 5 Is there anything about that experience that you think 6 might cause you to favor law enforcement in this case over 7 Dr. Ahuja? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 37: No, I don't. 9 MR. WEBB: Okay. You answered several questions --10 Strike the question. 11 You also checked off that you would be very 12 comfortable being a juror. Why did you check "very comfortable"? 13 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 37: Just the experience in the 15 law firm. I had never been on jury duty, but I thought it would 16 be interesting. And I know I would be able to be objective. 17 MR. WEBB: Thank you. 18 Juror No. 41. How are you? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 41: I'm good. 20 MR. WEBB: Just a couple of follow-up questions. Ιn 21 connection with your job or previous jobs, do you have any 22 experience with tax preparation? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 41: 24 MR. WEBB: None at all. 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 41: I pay my taxes, but

1 somebody else does them. 2 MR. WEBB: Somebody else prepares your tax returns for 3 you. 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 41: Correct. 5 MR. WEBB: And I think the court reporter is going to 6 want you to keep your voice up as best you can. 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 41: Is that better? 8 THE COURT: No. A little louder, please. 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 41: Like this. 10 MR. WEBB: In looking at question number 9 where it 11 says: Have you ever owned your own business, you checked "Yes." 12 Tell me about that business. 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 41: Well, it's a consulting 14 business. Financial and business consulting. 15 MR. WEBB: And how long have you owned that business? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 41: Almost 30 years. 17 MR. WEBB: And -- but in connection with that 18 business, you don't get involved in -- as far as financial 19 consulting, you don't get involved in tax issues. 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 41: No, I don't. 21 MR. WEBB: Okay. And you also checked off you could 22 be comfortable being on this case or Dr. Ahuja should feel 23 like --24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 41: That's correct. 25 MR. WEBB: Tell me why you said that.

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 41: Well, I believe in 2 fairness. And, like you said, in a situation like this 3 everybody should start at the starting line. 4 MR. WEBB: You have no trouble with that whatsoever. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 41: None. 5 6 MR. WEBB: Thank you. 7 42. Sir, I just -- in connection with question 8 number 11, you answered the question that you had gained some 9 knowledge about law and compliance and rules and regulations? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 42: Yes, I did. 11 MR. WEBB: Can you explain that a little bit to me, 12 please? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 42: I worked as a private 14 investigator for probably the last 15 years. And I've 15 exclusively done work with attorneys. 16 MR. WEBB: So are there some specific areas of rules 17 and regulations that you've become familiar with as a private 18 detective? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 42: No. The rules and 20 regulations part, compliance part at the bottom. That's when I 21 was a risk manager at the casinos in Las Vegas. And I managed a 22 safety program at Worker's Compensation, and I worked with OSHA 23 on regulations and stuff like that. 24 MR. WEBB: So you were familiar with the OSHA

regulations that affected what you were doing in your position;

25

1 is that right? 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 42: Yes. 3 MR. WEBB: Now, as a private detective -- and you do 4 interact with law enforcement on occasion; is that correct? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 42: Yes, I do. 6 MR. WEBB: Now, is there anything about your ties to 7 law enforcement that you think in any way might cause you to be 8 a little more in favor of the prosecutors here than the defense? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 42: No. I've worked and helped 10 on both sides of different cases. 11 MR. WEBB: Which sides do you think you worked on the 12 most? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 42: Probably the defense side. 14 MR. WEBB: Good. 15 (General laughter.) 16 MR. SULLIVAN: Objection, Your Honor. 17 MR. WEBB: Sir, I take it you believe you can be fair 18 and impartial to Dr. Ahuja; is that fair to say? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 42: Absolutely. MR. WEBB: Thank you. /////// -- I apologize. 20 21 THE COURT: It will be redacted. 22 MR. WEBB: Number 44. Sir, I want to follow-up just 23 on a couple questions on your questionnaire. Do you have that 24 handy, the questionnaire? 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 44: I don't right now.

1 MR. WEBB: No problem. Question 29, sir, on the 2 questionnaire, it was this question about Dr. Ahuja's wealth? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 44: Yes. 3 4 MR. WEBB: And the question was: Do you feel you 5 might have any difficulty being completely fair and impartial in 6 a case where a wealthy person is charged with tax fraud, and you 7 answered "Yes." 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 44: That's correct. 9 And you explained that, I think, most MR. WEBB: 10 people who consider themselves middle class and pay their taxes 11 every year are upset when they hear a wealthy person might not 12 have paid his taxes or his fair share. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 44: That's correct. 13 14 MR. WEBB: And that was your honestly held view; is 15 that correct? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 44: 17 MR. WEBB: And so the fact is you will have some 18 difficulty in being fair in this case; is that correct? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 44: I would like to think every 20 case is unique and whatever evidence you present I'll evaluate 21 it based on that evidence. 22 MR. WEBB: Well -- but when the question was asked:

some difficulty; is that correct?

Would you have any difficulty being completely fair and

impartial, you checked "Yes" because you thought you might have

23

24

25

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 44: Yes, it is. 2 MR. WEBB: Now -- that's all I have. Thank you, sir. 3 Thank you very much. 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 44: Okay. 5 MR. WEBB: 47. I just -- I saw because of your 6 occupation, do you have some experience with taxes and tax 7 preparation? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 47: Yes, sir. 9 MR. WEBB: Explain that to us if you could, please. 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 47: I've been a CPA since 1986. 11 MR. WEBB: And so how much experience have you had in 12 preparing taxes? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 47: Well, I've been 14 self-employed since that period. I also did mortgage business. 15 So I did the double whammy as far as regulations. 16 MR. WEBB: So you've actually had quite a bit of 17 experience with tax issues; is that correct? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 47: Yes, sir. Mostly on an 19 individual basis. 20 MR. WEBB: And you've had quite a bit of experience 21 with preparing tax returns; is that also correct? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 47: Yes, sir. 23 Is there anything about that experience MR. WEBB: 24 that you think would cause you to in any way have any concerns 25 of not being fair and impartial to Dr. Ahuja?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 47: No, sir.
2	MR. WEBB: Thank you. Thank you.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 47: Thank you.
4	MR. WEBB: 49. How are you?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 49: Good.
6	MR. WEBB: I just have a couple questions. Again,
7	it's actually the same question, on question number 5, because
8	of your bank auditor position with Wells Fargo, does that cause
9	you do you get involved in tax issues?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 49: No.
11	MR. WEBB: Not at all?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 49: No. Other than making
13	sure the customers we go to, we just check to see if they
14	paid their payroll taxes, basically.
15	MR. WEBB: And you said that you had you have had
16	an account in Japan; is that correct?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 49: Yeah. Checking account.
18	MR. WEBB: Pardon me?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 49: A checking account.
20	MR. WEBB: How did you end up having an account in
21	Japan? What were the circumstances?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 49: My husband lived over
23	there. He was doing research over there.
24	MR. WEBB: And you opened up an account when you were
25	living there.

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 49: Yes. 2 MR. WEBB: And do you still have the account? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 49: No. We closed it when we 4 came back. 5 You said Dr. Ahuja should feel very MR. WEBB: 6 comfortable with you on his jury. Why you'd say that? 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 49: I keep an open mind, and I 8 listen to the facts. 9 Is there anything at all about this case MR. WEBB: 10 that you say to yourself, bothers you or that you couldn't be 11 fair to Dr. Ahuja? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 49: No. 13 MR. WEBB: Thank you very much. 14 Juror 50. Sir, I just got a couple questions. 15 question number 11 on the questionnaire, you talked about having 16 some training in the field of accounting or bookkeeping? 17 If you can just explain that to me. 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 50: Sure. I was the general 19 manager for a small book store. So I pay all the bills. 20 MR. WEBB: And have you had had some experience in tax 21 preparation? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 50: No. 23 MR. WEBB: Other than your own. 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 50: Correct. I don't prepare 25 I have an accountant do it.

1 MR. WEBB: You also said that Dr. Ahuja should feel 2 very comfortable if you were on this jury. Why did you conclude 3 that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 50: I think I understand that 4 5 it needs to be a fair trial, and you can only judge by the 6 evidence that is presented. I just have a appreciation for 7 that. So I think that would be it. 8 MR. WEBB: I have no more questions. Thank you. 9 That's all my questions. Thanks all of you for all of 10 your candor. We appreciate it very much. Thank you. 11 THE COURT: I'd like to see the parties in the jury 12 You can stretch while we're out. If anyone needs to room. 13 refresh, this will be a good opportunity to do so. 14 THE BAILIFF: All rise. 15 (Trial adjourned to the jury room.) 16 (Discussion off the record.) 17 THE COURT: Please note that we are in the jury room 18 and that the defendant has opted not to be here. 19 Let's begin by discussing where you stand with respect 20 to any potential challenges for cause. I did not discern any 21 problems or issues that would raise a challenge for cause, but 22 perhaps my eyes were wide shut. 23 MR. SULLIVAN: None from the government. 24 MR. WEBB: We have four.

THE COURT: Okay.

25

MR. WEBB: Juror No. 2 had answered question 29 that -- she answered question 29 on the questionnaire that she would have -- that she would -- she would have difficulty being completely fair and impartial in this type of case because of the wealth of the defendant, and then she actually wrote out in response to question 36 that this person may have taken advantage of the system, although he was making millions of dollars. This is a bit upsetting.

And she also, then, had written out in response to question 29 that, "I'd like to say I wouldn't," which means be bias, "against him, but it was hard to read that statement and not raise my eyebrows about the amount of money he made."

I asked her very directly as a result of that was she telling me that she could not be completely fair and impartial to Dr. Ahuja, and she said yes. So she's made a direct statement that she could not be fair and impartial.

THE COURT: Mr. Sullivan?

MR. SULLIVAN: I think reading the tea leaves she doesn't want to be here. She wants to get back to her planning for her business, but the government views her as someone who could be fair and impartial.

THE COURT: Was she planning for UW-Parkside?

MR. SULLIVAN: Yes. She has a conference ongoing this week.

THE COURT: So it's not her business. It's her

employment.

MR. SULLIVAN: Correct. You're correct, Your Honor.

But we view her as somebody who could be fair and impartial, and

I asked questions to the panel and no one raised their hand when

I asked: Is there anyone who cannot promise to decide this case

based solely on the evidence and the law as instructed by the

court. And they do have 10 peremptories.

THE COURT: That's not the test. We'll bring in the juror.

THE CLERK: I'm sorry, which number?

THE COURT: Number 2.

(Juror No. 2 in.)

THE COURT: Juror No. 2, I would like to explore a couple things with you.

The first is what you said regarding your ability to be fair in this case when asked by Mr. Webb to explain your responses to inquiries on the questionnaire. Can you tell us a little bit more about your feelings concerning your ability to be fair?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: As I stated in my questionnaire, seeing that 65 million was a rather large sum of money, and I would not like -- I'd like to say I'm not biased towards anyone making that much money, but I did, like I said, raise my eyebrows. And knowing the charges that were brought were something with taxes, not reporting correctly on taxes or

whatnot, just already made me feel like, wow, you know.

THE COURT: Even though the numbers may be big, your role as a juror is not to assess whether or not a lot of zeros are involved in this case, but rather whether or not the government can prove its case against the defendant beyond a reasonable doubt, based solely on what is presented here in the courtroom, not based upon anything that may have occurred outside of this court.

With that said, do you believe that you would be able to sit in the jury box and make a decision based on what is presented in that courtroom and that alone?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: Again, I would like to say I would, but -- I would hope I could, but I don't think I can.

THE COURT: Why so?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: As I stated in there, it just has to do with the -- I know you say not to look at the amount of money, but it is there, and to not have known it beforehand would probably have helped, but knowing it, it's not like I can't -- I can forget it.

THE COURT: Now, if you were a party in this case -let's say you were sitting in that courtroom at one of the
tables, would you expect a juror, or every juror, to make a
decision solely on the law and the facts?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: Yes.

THE COURT: Is there any reason why you can't do what

1 you would expect a juror to do if you were involved in this 2 case? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: Again, I would hope that I 4 would be able to do that, but I'm not perfect. And knowing 5 that --6 THE COURT: I'm not asking you for perfection. 7 asking you for --8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: For honesty. 9 THE COURT: For honesty and to do what you inside 10 believe you're capable of doing. 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: And I have to say I'm 12 also -- knowing myself, I know that I'm quick to judge not given 13 all the facts. I do that with my children and my husband all 14 the time. And knowing the facts that we've already heard, I do 15 not think I can be as fair as I would want a juror to be. 16 THE COURT: Do you tend to make snap decisions? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: I tend to make very snap 18 decisions. It's good in my job, though, in what I do. 19 know my strengths and I know my weaknesses. 20 THE COURT: So you're quick on your feet. 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: I'm very quick on my feet. 22 THE COURT: And at work are you supervised? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: I am supervised. 24 THE COURT: And do you expect your supervisors to 25 evaluate you on what you do and how well you do it?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: I do.

THE COURT: Given that, do you believe that you can provide to the parties in this case a kind of sensibility and fairness that you expect of your supervisors?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: I feel like we're asking the same question, just rewording it.

THE COURT: Yes, I am. And the reason -- let me explain. The reason is to try to awaken in you at least all senses and have a full understanding of what you are saying to make sure that you believe as you've stated; that is, whether or not you can be fair.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: Okay. Again, I would try to the best of my ability to be fair, if I am chosen, and put all biases and snap decision-making aside, and that's that.

THE COURT: Let's assume for the moment that this case was expected to take, oh, just another three hours from start to finish, and it merely involved a car accident and whether or not somebody was injured. Would your feeling about your ability to be fair and impartial be different?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: No. And I say that only because knowing myself, I tend to read more into what is presented. If evidence is presented, I would read how people present it, how people sit, how people look when things are presented.

And so -- and I trust my judgments, but are they fair?

1 Not all the time, because they're not always based on just the 2 They're based on emotion and past experience. 3 THE COURT: So ultimately the fact that this case may 4 involve a lot of zeros is not crucial to your statement as to 5 whether or not you can be fair. 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: It is, too. That as well. 7 THE COURT: But that's just a part of it. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: Yeah. 8 9 THE COURT: All right. Do the parties have questions 10 of the juror? 11 MR. SULLIVAN: Couple follow-up questions. 12 You don't know anything about this case, do you? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: Just what was in the 14 questionnaire. 15 MR. SULLIVAN: Would you want to know before you make 16 a decision whether or not the defendant paid tax on that 17 \$65 million? Is that something that you would want to know 18 before you decide this case? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: Before I decided to be on 20 the case or --21 MR. SULLIVAN: No. If you sat on the jury, wouldn't 22 you want to hear the evidence before you make a decision? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: I would. I would. But that 24 wouldn't be the only thing -- I would like to say that would be 25 the only thing I'd base my decision on.

1 But, as I said before, a lot of opinion and past 2 experience and even reading, you know, looking around and just 3 looking at people's motions and faces and how they sit and 4 whatnot, I tend to be a person that makes decisions not just on 5 facts. Does that make sense? 6 MR. SULLIVAN: Not to me. But I have no further 7 questions. 8 MR. WEBB: No questions. 9 THE COURT: Thank you. 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: You're welcome. 11 (Juror No. 2 out.) 12 THE COURT: I find that there is cause for dismissing 13 Juror No. 2. Her responses to the questions that were asked of 14 her demonstrate clearly that she is not a person who will keep 15 an open mind; that she will make snap judgments on factors that 16 go beyond the evidence in the case. And as a consequence, she 17 may poison the well and prevent the jury from deliberating fully 18 solely on the facts, evidence, and any instructions given by the 19 court. 20 Do you wish to be heard further? 21 Do you want to move to the next juror? MR. WEBB: 22 THE COURT: Yes. 23 MR. WEBB: Juror No. 8. 24 THE COURT: Unless you're at an end.

No. I had four. And I have three left.

MR. WEBB:

25

1 2

3 4

5

6

7 8

9

11

10

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

Juror No. 8. I move to excuse Juror No. 8 for cause because I asked her about question number 29, same question about whether she would have --

THE COURT: This is the juror who said something about having nagging feelings because of the defendant's income. she's a music therapist.

MR. WEBB: Yes. When someone says that they are not sure they can be fair and impartial under the case law, they're to be excused from being on this jury.

She actually wrote -- I don't know how it could be more biased. She wrote on her questionnaire -- she combines together the fact that he's wealthy and that it's a tax fraud with the following: "If he were totally not guilty, why is he being brought to trial?" That was her answer.

And she said to me out there that it's a combination of the fact that he's very wealthy, charged with tax fraud, and she believes that if he's -- the government wouldn't have brought him to trial if he didn't have some quilt. That's the very thing we're trying to avoid in jurors. So I move for cause.

THE COURT: Does the government have a different view? MR. SULLIVAN: Yes, Your Honor. She said that she thinks something happened. Well, something did happen. Somebody was indicted.

But she said there was little nagging doubt. I don't

know how close we can get to someone saying they can't be fair and impartial. I believe she also did say that she could be fair and impartial. So it's another one of those situations where she's right on the line, I guess.

THE COURT: Well, I do believe that at this stage the water has run hot and cold. So we will bring her in.

(Juror No. 8 in.)

THE CLERK: Juror No. 8.

THE COURT: Good afternoon.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 8: Hi.

THE COURT: I'd like to explore with you some of the responses that you've given. And one of the things I'd like to find out is your view of your ability to sit as a juror in this case and make a decision based on what you hear in the courtroom and what I will give you as instructions.

Can you give us some insight into your state of mind and ability to handle your responsibilities if you were selected as a juror?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 8: Again, when I answered the question about number 33 about being fair and impartial, it simply -- I guess I just thought, well, if I'm coming to court to be a juror, then, obviously, something has occurred that something -- somebody has done something wrong. I don't know if I'm wording that correctly.

THE COURT: Have you heard about the presumption of

1 innocence? Have you heard of that? 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 8: Not much. 3 THE COURT: Well, let me tell you a little bit about 4 it. 5 A person who is charged with a crime and brought into 6 court is, under our law, presumed innocent throughout the case, 7 from start to finish, unless or until the government, not the 8 defense, the government, can demonstrate beyond a reasonable 9 doubt that that person is guilty. And there are elements of a crime that need to be 10 11 established one by one beyond a reasonable doubt. 12 Do you disagree with that as a concept? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 8: No. 14 THE COURT: If you were charged with a crime, would 15 you want to throw that concept out of the window? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 8: Absolutely not. 17 THE COURT: Do you like the concept, assuming you were 18 the person involved in the case? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 8: Yes. 20 THE COURT: So if you were seated at one of the tables 21 in this courtroom -- let's say, for example, you were a victim 22 and you were seeking redress from the other side, would you want 23 people to prejudge the case? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 8: No, it would not be fair. 25 THE COURT: Now, given that, would you want someone

with your mindset deciding a case if you were a party on either side? Would you be comfortable with somebody with your way of thinking on the jury?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 8: Actually, I think I would.

THE COURT: Why would you be comfortable?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 8: Because I wanted to be 100 percent honest with my gut reaction, but that is exactly probably what it was, is just an initial.

But, yes, what you're saying is absolutely -- it's imperative that, you know -- and, of course, like when I mentioned out there, if I were in the accused person's shoes, of course, I wished to be presumed innocent. So --

THE COURT: Now, when I go out in the courtroom a little later, I will touch on this again, and, that is, the presumption of innocence and the fact that an indictment is not evidence. The mere fact that somebody's been charged doesn't mean that there is evidence to support the indictment, or that the person is guilty or must have done something wrong.

An indictment is just a tool used by a grand jury, a big jury -- they can have up to 26 people -- to cause people to answer certain charges that the grand jury believes are supported.

But the petit jury, the little jury, the one that ultimately has to have only 12 members makes the final decision.

Now, given the fact that an indictment is not

evidence, do you understand that Dr. Ahuja has no proof against him at this point in time and is presumed to be innocent if this case proceeds to trial?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 8: Yes.

THE COURT: Now, if you were a juror seated in that box as a petit juror, do you have any question in your mind as to your ability to keep that concept in mind as the case proceeds?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 8: No. That's my job to be impartial.

THE COURT: Do the parties have questions of the juror?

MR. SULLIVAN: None from the government, Your Honor.

MR. WEBB: Just a couple. There are some cases that
jurors might be better on than other cases, just the nature of
who we are.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 8: Sure.

MR. WEBB: The question on the questionnaire about whether or not what the court just covered, it said: The judge will instruct you that you may draw no inference from the defendant -- from the fact that he had been indicted. Would you have any difficulty applying the judge's instructions on this point?

And you checked "Yes" and gave what I thought was a very honest answer. That if he were totally not guilty, why is

he being brought to trial.

And so the only reason I'm asking is because we're trying to make sure, is it possible this is a case, because of the facts, because he's wealthy, because of the tax fraud charges, that you have some belief that because he's been brought this far to trial by the government that when you said, why would he -- why is he being brought to trial, that you would have some difficulty in following that concept?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 8: Well, I don't want it to seem as if I'm using -- if he's wealthy or -- it's simply the fact that he's in the seat that he's at this point.

MR. WEBB: Right. I understand completely.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 8: Okay.

MR. WEBB: That causes you to think, as you just said a moment ago, that maybe he's done something wrong. If I understood your answer, right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 8: Uh-huh.

MR. WEBB: And that's how you feel.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 8: I don't know. Maybe I'm just not understanding or communicating this correctly. I understand that he needs to be presumed innocent.

MR. WEBB: Sure.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 8: I would wish for that to be for me as well. Okay? So, we're here for a reason. Something has occurred.

1 I guess I just -- I understand, though, if I'm 2 selected, I need to do what the judge has told me, and I would 3 do that. 4 MR. WEBB: But you did believe that because of these 5 circumstances --6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 8: When I filled this 7 questionnaire out, I was trying to be 100 percent frank. Yes. 8 MR. WEBB: Thank you very much. 9 THE COURT: That's all. Thank you. 10 Off the record. 11 (Juror No. 8 out.) 12 (Discussion off the record.) 13 THE COURT: Back on the record. Mr. Webb? 14 MR. WEBB: Your Honor, I do move to excuse this juror 15 for cause. I recognize Your Honor has attempted to rehabilitate 16 the juror with your questions. 17 THE COURT: No. I'm just trying to bring out as much 18 information as I can so that we can make an appropriate 19 decision. I don't want to rehab anybody who may have been 20 damaged. 21 MR. WEBB: I'll strike the comment. 22 Your Honor asked questions to get more information, 23 and I believe that this juror, when she said and wrote on this 24 questionnaire that "why would he be brought here to trial if he

was totally not quilty?" And then when you started your

25

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

questioning, the first thing she told you is she believes he must have done something wrong or he wouldn't be here.

We've got a lot of jurors sitting out there. This juror clearly has problems in being fair and impartial.

THE COURT: As I said, in response to what Mr. Sullivan said, that's not the test. The number of jurors in the courtroom is not the test.

MR. WEBB: I agree it's not the test. I agree with you. But my only point is that when we have a sense about someone being not fair and impartial, we can -- you can exercise your discretion to excuse that juror, and I believe this is one of them.

MR. SULLIVAN: I think the juror explained her original answer. She said this view of hers had nothing to do with the type of case, but then she went on to say that she could be fair and impartial because she hasn't heard any of the evidence yet. So even though something may have happened, she doesn't know what that is, and she said she could be fair and impartial. So we object.

THE COURT: Well, I do note in looking at question number -- the response to question number 29 the juror did indicate that she would try to be impartial. She was consistent throughout in that regard.

Moreover, in responding to my questions and those that were asked of her in here by the parties, it was apparent to me

that she did not fully appreciate the presumption of innocence. And after I went through that presumption with her, it became clear to her that a defendant does not have to prove his innocence; that the government has the burden of proving the defendant guilty; and that she, as a juror, is to keep an open mind throughout the proceedings and reach a verdict only after the evidence has been presented.

On the basis of what I've heard, I'm satisfied that this juror has not been shown to be biased and incapable of rendering a verdict based upon the facts and the evidence, and that this juror will not place upon the defendant any obligation to demonstrate that he is not guilty.

I understand what the defense has said respecting the juror's initial responses, but I also understand from the totality of her responses that she realizes, as a practical matter, that there is something that has occurred to bring this matter about. There has to be some type of trigger that would cause matters -- a matter to be in court.

She doesn't know what that trigger is, but she is, like all of us, aware that things don't happen for no reason whatsoever. Some trigger, it may be an innocent thing, or it may be something sinister, but there is something that has occurred. And even if it's nothing more than a decision by a grand jury to go on a witch hunt.

So, with that the defense motion is denied.

MR. WEBB: Your Honor, the next juror that I would move to excuse for cause is Juror No. 30. And, again, this is because this juror, unlike the last juror, at least she didn't check the box "yes" or "no," she just left it blank.

This juror when asked, after the issue about Dr. Ahuja's wealth was presented, Juror No. 30, the question was: Do you feel you might have any difficulty being completely --

THE COURT: One second. Off the record.

(Discussion off the record.)

THE COURT: Let's go back on the record.

All right. Mr. Webb.

And in the courtroom I asked him if that was his answer and if that is how he believed, and he said "Yes."

So I don't know how much more I can do to make a record that he believes that he cannot be completely fair and impartial, and that's the standard, and that's because he's frustrated with rich people getting richer.

And I move to excuse him for cause.

1 MR. SULLIVAN: Your Honor, this was one of the two 2 jurors I asked questions of, and I believe I recall he said that 3 he could put that aside. He was the one that believed he works 4 very hard and he's frustrated that he's not gotten further ahead 5 in life. 6 And I asked him, well, what if this case has nothing 7 to do with working hard, can you wait and decide the case based on the evidence presented? And he said he believed he could. 8

And I believe he said he could be fair and impartial.

THE COURT: Both things are true. We'll bring in

Off the record.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Number 30.

(Discussion off the record.)

(Juror No. 30 in.)

THE COURT: Juror No. 30.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 30: Hi

THE COURT: You've expressed during voir dire a number of frustrations and concerns about people who are wealthy. The parties anticipate testimony that the defendant earned a lot of money over the years. If that is true, if that occurs, would that cause you to feel that this defendant is guilty?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 30: I don't think so.

THE COURT: Would you hold it against the defendant that he has been financially successful, or if it is shown that he has been financially successful?

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 30: No. I don't think so. 2 THE COURT: Now, one of the things jurors will be told 3 repeatedly is that there is a presumption of innocence. Do you 4 disagree with that concept? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 30: 6 THE COURT: Do you understand that a defendant does 7 not have to prove himself innocent when he or she is charged? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 30: Yes. 8 9 THE COURT: Do you understand that a trial begins with 10 a blank slate, no proof for either side? Do you understand 11 t.hat.? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 30: Yes. 13 THE COURT: Now, you mentioned something about concern 14 about hard work and success. Can you explain your feelings in 15 that regard? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 30: I've had a hard go of it, 17 and there's been times where I've -- I felt like I've worked 18 hard and it just no matter what I did it was difficult for me to 19 make it, and I finally got a decent job now, and we're still 20 bearing out. So that is where personal frustration comes 21 through. 22 THE COURT: Recently have you endured some financial 23 hardship? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 30: Still in it. 25

THE COURT: Does that play into the responses you've

given so far?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 30: I'm sure it does.

THE COURT: You mentioned you recently obtained employment. Is your employment going to be adversely affected?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 30: No. No.

THE COURT: If you were selected as a juror in this case, do you feel that you could devote your full attention to what's happening in this courtroom?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 30: Yes. I get paid a regular day's salary from them.

THE COURT: Now, is there anything about this case that makes you uncomfortable?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 30: No.

THE COURT: Anything you've heard so far that makes you uncomfortable?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 30: No.

THE COURT: If you were a party in this case, would you want somebody who thinks like you deciding the case? Let's say, for example, if you were injured in a car accident and you were seeking compensation for injuries you suffered, would you want somebody who thinks like you deciding whether or not you win or lose?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 30: I think so. I've had other jobs, and one of the things that I've tried to do is be honest, and I value honesty and truth as just core values. Life does

1 happen. So there are certain things that color and bring about 2 frustrations at different times in your life. But --3 THE COURT: Have you had any significant disagreements 4 with fellow employees in any job that you've had? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 30: No. 6 THE COURT: Have you encountered people in your 7 employment you've disagreed with from time to time? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 30: As far as from my opinion 9 to their opinion? 10 THE COURT: Yes. 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 30: There's always different 12 opinions, but I can work with people that don't agree with me. 13 In fact, most of the people that I work with have different 14 values than I do. 15 THE COURT: And have you found it difficult at any 16 time to work with these people and to continue a civil dialog? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 30: No. We have a job to do. 18 We can get it done. 19 THE COURT: Do the parties have any questions of the 20 juror? 21 MR. SULLIVAN: None from the government. 22 THE COURT: Mr. Webb? 23 MR. WEBB: Just one. When you were told about 24 Dr. Ahuja's wealth and you said you feel you might have 25 difficulty being completely fair and impartial and you said that you would, you checked "Yes," what was it that was in your mind at that time?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 30: I think it was just there's -- that was two months ago. It's still difficult as we're trying to get out of debt and try to get into a more -- above that situation. There are certain money things that are a little bit of a hot spot for me. It's just difficult.

MR. WEBB: I understand that completely. And I'm not going to pry into that in your life at all. But because of that feeling, do you think that maybe you might not be able to be completely fair and impartial to Dr. Ahuja? That's all I'm trying to --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 30: I understand. I'll do the best I can. Are there colors? I think everyone grew up with different things. There's always biases. So I'll do the best I can.

MR. WEBB: If I hear you correctly, are you telling me -- I know you're digging deep and trying to be honest, and that's all anyone can ask, and I can tell you're a very honest person.

Are you telling me that you're going to try to be unbiased, but you're not completely sure you can do so?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 30: To me it seems like there's always an element of doubt in some of these things. When you always say "always," when you always say "never," that's doesn't

hold true.

MR. WEBB: I have no more questions. Thank you.

THE COURT: You said something a moment ago about the time when you filled out your questionnaire. Do you recall that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 30: Yeah.

THE COURT: Was there anything troubling you at the time you filled out that questionnaire?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 30: We were just coming off -not anything different than normal. July is a difficult month
for us. We come off the time of shut down where I don't get any
overtime. So we're looking at bills and struggling through
them.

THE COURT: So was there anything in your personal life that was troubling you at the time you filled out the questionnaire, other than the general downturn that you experience when your plant is closed?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 30: Nothing other than just life, ups and downs.

THE COURT: Are the things that were bothering you then under control now?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 30: We're slowly picking away at our debt. We're trying to get through, but, I mean, they're still there. I'm working 60, 65 hours a week if I can to try to get out of it.

THE COURT: Thank you. I appreciate it.

MR. WEBB: Thank you.

MR. SULLIVAN: Thanks.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 30: Thank you.

(Juror No. 30 out.)

THE COURT: Mr. Webb?

MR. WEBB: Yes, Your Honor. I, again, will renew my motion to excuse Juror No. 30 for the reasons I stated. When he answered this question that he would have difficulty being completely fair and impartial to a wealthy person charged with tax fraud and I just asked him now whether it still is not 100 percent sure that he can be fair and impartial because he has this feeling that he has never been able to get ahead in life and the fact that Dr. Ahuja has made so much money, that's why he said he was frustrated with the rich getting richer, I believe that he can't be completely fair and impartial, and I had made a record to excuse him for cause.

MR. SULLIVAN: Government respectfully disagrees.

He's only human. We're all only human. He said he could be fair and impartial, but he also said that everyone is different, but he could work together with everyone to reach -- to get the job done or to do the work.

And that's what the law requires is that people say that they will be fair and impartial and that they can be fair and impartial. I think he was very honest.

THE COURT: I'm going to deny the defendant's motion.

And I note several things. You cited them during your arguments.

One is, this juror gave answers that provided insight into his thinking process and the frustrations that he has experienced. It appears to me from what he said that they were having household difficulties and family turmoil that was based in large part on the fact that he was not earning overtime when his company was shut down in July.

He was bringing out in his responses to the questions on the questionnaire the frustration that he was experiencing at home. He is digging out of a financial hole at this time and acknowledges that and knows that to speak in terms of absolutes is a very dangerous thing.

And for this court to make a decision as to whether or not this juror can be 100 percent certain of anything would be inappropriate, and the defense is, essentially, asking this court to find on the basis that this juror said he cannot give a 100 percent guarantee that he would be biased. And the court does not accept that.

The court denies the defense motion, and this juror will not be stricken for cause.

MR. WEBB: Your Honor, my last motion to excuse for cause is Juror No. 44. And as far as Juror No. 44 is concerned, Juror No. 44 also, the same question, question 29, after being

1 advised of the wealth of Dr. Ahuja and asked the question: 2 you feel you might have difficulty being completely fair and 3 impartial in a case involving that type of wealth and tax fraud, 4 checked "Yes," he would have trouble being fair and impartial. 5 And his answer -- his explanation was, "I think most 6 people who consider themselves middle class and pay their taxes 7 every year are upset when they hear a wealthy person might not 8 have paid his or her fair share." 9 And I believe that was a candid answer. I then called 10 it to his attention. 11 THE COURT: More need not be said at this point. 12 We'll bring him in. 13 MR. SULLIVAN: Your Honor, we'll never get to him. Based on my calculations, it's impossible to get to Juror No. 44 14 15 based on the number of eligible jurors. 16 THE COURT: I think that is true. 17 MR. WEBB: I haven't done the count. So I'm not 18 disagreeing with you. 19 THE COURT: Yeah. 20 MR. SULLIVAN: We need 32. So if we -- that's 21 according to my understanding, we need 32 qualified jurors to 22 select from. 23 THE CLERK: That takes us through 37. 24 THE COURT: I think we'll not get to 44.

MR. WEBB: That's fine.

1 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Let's talk about how we 2 proceed from this point. 3 Would you just indicate for the record the juror 4 numbers who will be subject to peremptory challenges. First we 5 will go through the process with regard to -- let's see. 6 The first 28. First 28. We will select 12 jurors at 7 the outset. And the government will have 6 strikes and the defense will have 10. 8 9 After we select those, the next four jurors will be 10 subject to striking, with each side getting an additional one. 11 THE CLERK: That would take us to 33, if that's what 12 you're referring to. THE COURT: No. 13 That would be 34. I mean, 32. 14 THE CLERK: I know number 33 --15 THE COURT: Oh, Juror No. 33. Yes. Because we struck 16 Juror No. 2. 17 Do the parties agree? 18 The government agrees that the first 28 MR. SULLIVAN: 19 would take us to Juror No. 33. 20 MR. KIRSCH: That's correct. MR. WEBB: To get 28 jurors, we will go to Juror 33. 21 22 I believe that is correct. 23 MR. SULLIVAN: Assuming we all exercise our strikes. 24 MR. WEBB: Yes. That's what the court is assuming. 25 MR. SULLIVAN: Right.

1 THE COURT: All right. May I suggest that the 2 government exercise -- well, two strikes in each round as a way 3 of moving things along? Or would you rather or strongly prefer 4 that you strike only one at a time, and then the defense having 5 the last set of strikes? 6 MR. SULLIVAN: We're only striking one person. 7 THE COURT: One at a time. 8 MR. SULLIVAN: We're only striking one person. 9 THE COURT: Okay. Total. 10 MR. SULLIVAN: Yes. 11 THE COURT: Oh. Okay. 12 MR. KIRSCH: Are you going to tell us who it is? 13 MR. SULLIVAN: If we have to go first. 14 THE COURT: You have to go first. 15 MR. SULLIVAN: We are striking Juror No. 24. 16 THE COURT: All right. Do you need to go into the 17 courtroom to determine which strikes you want to take? Or would 18 you rather caucus before you go back out there? 19 Well, Dr. Ahuja wants to be involved in MR. WEBB: 20 this process. 21 THE COURT: You'll get a chance to caucus with your 22 Do you want to do that -- caucus with him in here client. 23 first? 24 MR. KIRSCH: Could we use the room in the back, the 25 same room that we used?

```
1
               THE COURT: Yeah, sure.
 2
               MR. KIRSCH: Can we talk for one minute before we go
 3
     back?
 4
               THE COURT: Off the record.
 5
               (Discussion off the record.)
6
               (Recess taken at 3:48 p.m., until 4:40 p.m.)
 7
               THE COURT: All right.
8
               MR. KIRSCH: Your Honor, we have our 10 strikes. And
9
     I have this, do you want me to write them? I didn't write them
10
     on this list because I didn't --
11
               THE CLERK: Yes. Go ahead.
12
               MR. WEBB: Should we just write them out and hand them
13
     to him?
14
               MR. KIRSCH: I think she's saying just put them on the
15
     list. Defendant number 1.
16
               MR. WEBB: Just write them on the list.
17
               MR. KIRSCH: Oh, I'm sorry.
18
               (Brief pause.)
19
               THE COURT: Are you done?
20
               MR. KIRSCH: Yes.
                                  I wrote our challenges for cause on
21
     there, but I don't know if you want me to number the jurors 1
22
     through 12 or not on there.
23
               THE COURT: Off the record.
24
               (Discussion off the record.)
25
               THE COURT: The jurors who are left, the first 12,
```

```
1
     read them off, please.
 2
               THE CLERK: Okay. 3 through 7.
 3
               MR. KIRSCH: 3, 4, 5, 6, 7. Okay, we got those.
               THE CLERK: 10. 15. 17. 20.
 4
5
               MR. KIRSCH: Yes.
               THE CLERK: 25. 26 and 27.
6
7
               MR. KIRSCH: Yes.
8
               MR. KIRSCH: That's right. That's what I have. 27 is
9
     Juror No. 12.
10
               THE CLERK: Yes.
11
               MR. KIRSCH: And then 28, 29, 30, 32, and 33 are
12
     excused.
13
               MR. WEBB: By the court.
14
               MR. KIRSCH: Because they're not needed. And then
15
     we're at 34 for alternates.
16
               MR. WEBB: Right.
17
               THE CLERK:
                          Right.
18
               THE COURT: Are the parties in agreement?
19
               MS. SISKIND: Yes.
20
               MR. WEBB:
                          Yes.
21
               THE COURT: All right.
22
               MR. SULLIVAN: Your Honor, who goes first on this
23
     round?
24
               MR. WEBB:
                          The government goes first on alternates.
25
               MR. SULLIVAN:
                              I'm going to defer to Ms. Siskind on
```

1 this one. 2 MS. SISKIND: 34. 3 MR. WEBB: Could we have a couple moments to consult 4 with Dr. Ahuja in the hallway? 5 THE COURT: Sure. We'll go off the record. 6 (Discussion off the record.) 7 MR. KIRSCH: Okay. We'll strike number 35. 8 THE COURT: All right. It's now 25 minutes to 5:00. 9 What we will do is go into the courtroom. The clerk will 10 announce the jurors without identifying the alternate jurors. 11 After we confirm who has been selected, we will have all the 12 jurors come to the jury room for just a couple of minutes. 13 I'm going to have openings now. In my view we need to 14 move as far as we can, unless the jurors report that someone has 15 a problem remaining until 6:00 o'clock. 16 MR. WEBB: Are there preliminary instructions that you 17 give the jurors? 18 THE COURT: I did not give them because of the way we 19 did our selection, but what I would do is I will -- I hadn't 20 accounted for that amount of time. 21 MR. WEBB: I'm thinking we'll be here until 6:30. 22 Just respectfully, this witness tomorrow is going to get on and 23 off the stand. 24 THE COURT: How much cross-examination do you

envision, assuming things go as swimmingly as --

1 MR. WEBB: Two hours at the most, okay? And he's 2 45 minutes on direct. That's what you told me, okay? 3 MS. SISKIND: 45 minutes to an hour, yeah. 4 THE COURT: All right, if that's the case --But if it goes 2 1/2 hours --5 MR. WEBB: 6 THE COURT: If that's the case -- you're ahead of the 7 You're ahead of the game. 8 MR. WEBB: Thank you, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Trust me. We'll have openings in the 10 morning. 11 MR. WEBB: Thank you. 12 THE COURT: All right. 13 MS. SISKIND: Your Honor, the preliminary statement 14 indicates -- is that for you to read during your preliminary 15 instructions? 16 THE COURT: Because we did the jury striking in the 17 back and not in the courtroom, they were not given. So we will 18 give those tomorrow. 19 MS. SISKIND: Tomorrow. Okay. 20 THE COURT: But I will not swear in the jury because I 21 don't want jeopardy to attach, to be very blunt. 22 MS. SISKIND: Your Honor, on the issue of the 23 preliminary statement, Mr. Kirsch and I were talking, because 24 Count 1 is going to be gone, our proposition would be that it's

appropriate to renumber the indictment so that the jury never

knows there never was a Count 1.

THE COURT: Guess what? We're working on it. In fact, what I would suggest is that, if you have a preference with respect to how the statement is made in describing what remains before the jury, you can submit that to me tomorrow morning. In fact, that would be preferable.

MR. KIRSCH: I think we could even e-mail your clerk tonight. We've agreed on a statement.

THE COURT: That will be fine. In fact, I would also ask that you go back through the proposed instructions and modify them. I've already gone through, and I've stricken some of the proposed instructions because they are no longer appropriate.

Let me also suggest that the government take steps to file your dismissal. Take care of that before jeopardy attaches and before the jury is sworn in.

MS. SISKIND: Yes, Your Honor.

MS. JOHNSON: Your Honor, do you want for the motion to dismiss to be sent to the clerk?

THE COURT: You were already granted leave to dismiss orally, and so that will be reflected in today's minutes. So all you have to do is then file the dismissal. All right.

Okay?

MR. SULLIVAN: After the jury leaves, maybe we can talk about that 404(b) motion?

1 THE COURT: Sure. Whatever needs to be done. 2 MR. KIRSCH: I don't think there's anything to discuss 3 with that tonight, Your Honor. 4 THE COURT: Okay. And if you have a revised estimate 5 for how much time the case will take, that will also be helpful 6 in scheduling our calendar for next week. 7 (Recess taken at 4:40 p.m., until 4:41 p.m.) 8 THE COURT: Members of the jury, jury selection has 9 been completed, and the clerk will announce the numbers of those 10 jurors who have been selected to serve in this case. 11 I do ask that the -- that you remain in place after 12 you hear your number called. And once all numbers have been 13 called, the jurors who will be serving as jurors in this case 14 should move to the jury box. And those of you who are in the 15 jury box and are not to serve in this case are asked to move to 16 the back of the room. All right? 17 THE CLERK: Juror No. 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10, 15, 17, 20, 18 25, 26, 27, 35, and 37. 19 THE COURT: If you are in the jury box --20 MR. KIRSCH: Your Honor, I think there was a mistake. 21 THE COURT: Read them off again, please. 22 MR. KIRSCH: I think just the -- well --23 THE CLERK: 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10, 15, 17, 20, 25, 26, 27, 24 35, and 37. 25 MR. KIRSCH: There is a mistake.

MR. SULLIVAN: It should be 36 and 37. 1 2 MR. KIRSCH: That's correct, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: 36 and 37. Yes. 36 and 37. 4 If your number was called, please move to the jury 5 box; if you're in the box and your number wasn't called, please 6 move to the back. 7 Those of you who are in the front row who were called 8 can remain in place. 9 THE CLERK: Please stand as I call your number. 3, 4, 10 5, 6, 7, 10, 15, 17, 20, 25, 26, 27, 36, and 37. 11 THE COURT: Please be seated for a moment. 12 Are the parties satisfied that these are the jurors 13 you selected? 14 MR. KIRSCH: Yes, Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: I do ask that the jurors remain in place 16 for a couple moments. If you're in the back and you're 17 standing, you may take a seat in one of the chairs here. 18 I'd like to see the parties in the jury room for a 19 couple moments. 20 (Trial adjourned to jury room.) 21 THE COURT: Juror No. 3 is the individual who reported 22 problems with PTSD and pain. I just want to confirm that the 23 parties are mindful of that as we proceed and to ascertain 24 whether or not you noticed this juror stand during voir dire at

various points and also wince just a couple minutes ago when he

got out of his chair and moved to the jury box and stared at me 1 2 with a somewhat painful look on his face. 3 MR. WEBB: I did observe what you just described. I'm 4 going to suggest that we caucus on this issue. I think it's an 5 important issue. I noticed exactly what you noticed. I think 6 we should talk. 7 THE COURT: All right. Off the record. We'll let the 8 parties confer. 9 (Discussion off the record.) 10 THE COURT: All right. Have you made a decision? MR. WEBB: Your Honor, we consulted our client. We 11 12 13 We're hoping that things will calm down. I did see what you 14 saw. So I acknowledge that. 15 It's going to be a short trial. If we lose a juror, 16 we have an alternate. But I'd like -- we don't want to excuse ///// now. 17 18 THE COURT: An alternative -- I'd like to have that 19 juror come back here. 20 (Juror No. 3 in.) 21 THE COURT: Juror No. 3, I noted that during jury 22 selection and after your number was called this afternoon you 23 displayed some discomfort.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 3: I'm in a lot of pain,

24

25

Your Honor.

1 THE COURT: You did not take your medication? 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 3: Not today. 3 THE COURT: When you take your medication, does that 4 take care of your difficulties ordinarily? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 3: Yes, it does. 6 THE COURT: All right. So do you think you'll be okay 7 tomorrow? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 3: See, the problem is, Your 9 Honor -- is that I can't sit for long periods of time because I 10 have to have -- I happen to have osteoarthritis in my knees and 11 I have sciatica. And by sitting too long, that kind of like 12 causes discomfort and a lot of pain. So I'm going to have to 13 take something like Vicodin and Xanax. I have to take stuff all 14 day. 15 THE COURT: Does that cause you any great difficulty 16 when it becomes necessary to take both of those medications? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 3: Yeah. I get kind of fuzzy, 18 and I don't think clearly. 19 It's all in my paperwork here. The doctor says it was 20 causing me to not focus. It should be on the third or fourth 21 page. I was seeing a psychiatrist for a PTSD, and they were 22 saying that I have to take this stuff to kind of tone it down 23 some because it's like I'm nervous, and then I have these

THE COURT: Under what circumstances do you experience

24

25

anxiety attacks.

1 attacks of anxiety? 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 3: Stress. I'm under a lot of 3 stress. And then sometimes it just pops up. 4 THE COURT: How does the anxiety usually manifest itself? 5 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 3: Nervousness. Irritability. 7 I get real fidgety. And how would you say, I get kind of short 8 tempered. It doesn't take much to make me kind of angry. I 9 lose my patience. 10 THE COURT: Are you a veteran? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 3: Yes. 12 THE COURT: Did you experience this difficulty prior 13 to your military service? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 3: No. 15 THE COURT: Had this difficulty been diagnosed as 16 service -- been determined to be service-related? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 3: The VA didn't, but I had 18 another psychiatrist say it was. 19 THE COURT: Did you serve outside of the Continental 20 U.S. when you were in the military? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 3: One time. No. Not any 22 length of time. I was only out for a couple weeks, and then 23 they brought me back. 24 THE COURT: Were you in Vietnam? 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 3: No.

1 THE COURT: Do the parties have any questions? 2 No, Your Honor. MR. SULLIVAN: 3 MR. WEBB: No, Your Honor. 4 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. You can step out. 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 3: All right. You're welcome, 6 Your Honor. Sorry I couldn't help you out. 7 THE COURT: No. That's okay. 8 (Juror No. 3 out.) 9 THE COURT: One second. I do note the following: 10 This is an outpatient statement, which was handed to me by Juror 11 It discloses that Juror No. 3 has difficulties with 12 depression, in addition to anxiety and anxiety disorder, left 13 shoulder and bilateral knee pain, osteo, has been seen on 14 several dates including March of 2011. I further note that this appears to be -- this 15 16 paperwork appears to be related to unemployment, an unemployment 17 claim by Juror No. 3. 18 I further note from what Juror No. 3 just reported 19 that stress exacerbates his problem. He manifests -- and that 20 he manifests his anxiety by getting angry. And that he also 21 experiences fogginess and difficulties in focusing when he's 22 taking the medication that he needs to control his anxiety and 23 his pain. 24 It appears to me from what he's saying that it's going

to be difficult for him to give this case his full attention.

Do you feel differently based upon what you've heard?

MR. WEBB: Your Honor, the problem -- we exercised our challenges with a certain --

THE COURT: I understand that.

MR. WEBB: I know you understand it. I'm not going to belabor it. The government left five challenges on the table, which they could have used if they wanted.

Anyway, I don't -- if after a day or two he just doesn't show up, we'll obviously have to take the first alternate. I recognize that. It's not going to cause a mistrial. There's not going to be a mistrial. This is a short a case as you can get. We'll be done by next Monday, Tuesday, or Wednesday, somewhere in there. I'm not trying to get a mistrial. I'm just trying to preserve --

THE COURT: I don't think you are.

MR. WEBB: I'm not. I guess I suggest that we keep him on the jury, see what happens over the next couple days.

And if we have to bring the alternate in because he doesn't show up, we'll probably not lose any time. And the alternate gets seated.

But right now -- and that's what the law requires.

Right now I don't want to agree with that because he may show up tomorrow, hunker down, take a pain pill and be okay.

THE COURT: I'm also concerned about his ability to focus. I just want the parties to -- no pun intended -- focus

on that issue as well.

Mr. Sullivan?

MR. SULLIVAN: Your Honor, we move to strike for cause. The jury has not been impaneled. If he's excused for cause, then the other side would get another strike, and they could select between the next two jurors that were in the original pool, between 28 and 29.

MR. WEBB: No.

THE COURT: No. I would not limit them to just the next two. I would give them an opportunity to strike from anyone within that first segment.

MR. SULLIVAN: We will agree to allow them to pick a juror from the next three, 28, 29, I guess 30. You can just pick.

MR. WEBB: We don't want those jurors.

THE COURT: I'm talking about within the first 28.

MR. SULLIVAN: But they could never get to those jurors regardless because by the government not exercising five of its strikes the pool was limited. They could never get those jurors.

MR. WEBB: If you're going to get rid of ______ over my objection, then the proper thing to do would be to put the first alternate. That's what the law requires. I'm not asking for that because I don't want to get rid of ______. But the solution -- if you get rid of ______, then you go to the first

1 alternate.
2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SULLIVAN: Then that's what we should do. Move the first alternate in, and then we select another alternate.

MR. WEBB: I'm not agreeing to that.

THE COURT: He's not agreeing to that.

MR. WEBB: I'm not agreeing to that.

THE COURT: I note at the outset that jeopardy has not attached. And that gives us a lot of latitude.

We'll proceed with Juror No. 3. And the court will certainly entertain any concerns that may arise during the balance of the trial respecting any juror who may have some issues that need to be addressed.

All right?

MR. WEBB: Yes.

THE COURT: All right.

(Trial resumed back in open court.)

THE COURT: Members of the jury, if you have been seated in the jury box, you are to remain in place for a couple of moments.

The other jurors are excused. Thank you so much for your candor and for your attention to this case.

Jury duty is as much of a civic responsibility as voting. I note yesterday we had only a minimal turnout for the primary elections that were held, notwithstanding the fact that there are people around the world who are dying for the

opportunity to vote.

You turned out today in full recognition of your civic responsibility, and I hope that each of you voted yesterday regardless of who you may have voted for. I urge you as you leave this place and go back to your lives to share with your family members, colleagues, and friends the responsibility that each of us is called upon to shoulder and that you, in fact, shouldered today in participating in jury selection which helps to ensure equal justice under the law and a fair trial for the government and for the defense.

Have a good evening.

(Balance of jury panel discharged.)

THE COURT: Members of the jury panel, I do ask you -well, be seated for a couple moments. There are a couple things
I want to share.

Tomorrow morning you are urged to be here on time. We will start at 8:30. The bailiff will be talking with you after you leave the courtroom today and giving you some information respecting how we proceed. But I do want to bring several things to your attention:

You must not communicate with anyone by any means respecting this case. You, of course, can let your family and friends know that you are serving as a juror, and that you have to be in court. And, of course, if you're employed, you may wish to contact your employer as well to confirm that you remain

obligated to serve as a juror in a case in federal court. Beyond that you should not go.

Also, keep in mind what I said on several occasions today: You may not use any electronic device or media such as a telephone, a cell phone, iPhone or Blackberry, the computer, Internet, any Internet service, any text or instant messaging service, any Internet chat room, blog, MySpace, LinkedIn, You Tube, or Twitter to communicate to anyone any information about this case or to conduct any research about this case until I accept your verdict.

In other words, you cannot talk to anyone on the phone, correspond with anyone, or electronically communicate with anyone about this case. You can only discuss the case in the jury room with your fellow jurors during jury deliberations; in other words, after all of the testimony has been completed, after you have been instructed by the court and after you have heard the arguments of counsel. I expect you will inform me as soon as you have become aware of any violation of this instruction.

I will give you further instructions later.

Let me add: You have seen various people in this courtroom today, and you may come in contact with them during the course of this trial outside of this courtroom. Therefore, even though there may be a natural tendency to communicate with people you have seen previously -- that is, to exchange

pleasantries -- resist any such urge and don't feel embarrassed or discourteous if you fail to acknowledge someone who is involved in this case.

I don't want it to be suggested by anything that you may have said or done outside of this courtroom with respect to any person involved in this case that you are fraternizing or they are fraternizing with you. Be especially careful in elevators and in stairwells and about the building.

In fact, I urge that if you are coming to court or leaving court that you make a direct line, use a direct line in coming to this court. Don't tarry in the hallways or communicate with family and friends outside of the courtroom in the corridors because that will just expose you to additional opportunities to interact with people in this case.

You should also know that it is important to be as timely as possible. Now, there will likely be some delays in this case from time to time, and I will try to explain to you any delays that occur, but I do want you to know that we cannot begin the trial without all of you being here, and we cannot conclude or conduct any deliberations unless everyone is in the jury room participating in those deliberations.

Therefore, once this trial begins and once deliberations begin, it is imperative that you be present and involved in all that needs to take place.

The bailiff will talk with you briefly about some of

the practices we have respecting refreshments and what's available to you for your comfort in the jury room.

And as you can note by the relative quiet of the courtroom right now, the air has been turned off. We will certainly accommodate you in future days if we have to be here after 5:00 o'clock.

Generally speaking, I try to go until about 6:00 o'clock at night so that we can maximize our jury day, and we will take one break in the morning and one break in the afternoon, unless there are some other issues that arise.

I will give you some further instructions, particularly as they relate to what I am about to do -
(White noise.)

THE COURT: -- that is, the utilization of that white noise. We do use that when parties are communicating with me at side bar so that you will not be able to discern the particulars of what we are saying.

Those matters are not matters of concern to you, and those matters are generally attended to at side bar so that it won't be necessary for you to get up and down and move in and out of the courtroom while we're trying to resolve issues that may arise from time to time.

With that, I ask you to go to the jury room for a couple moments for some discussions with the bailiff. I'll see you tomorrow morning at 8:30.

THE BAILIFF: All rise.

(Jury out at 5:20 p.m.)

THE COURT: Please be seated. I just want to share with you that I've made arrangements for the one juror to be excused from his hearing tomorrow morning, which was scheduled at 10:30. That's Juror No. 26. That hearing has been canceled, and it will be rescheduled.

Now, are there any matters you'd like to attend to at this time? I do know that there were several things that we discussed in the jury room.

MS. SISKIND: Your Honor, there is one matter that will affect the content of opening statements tomorrow morning. This may be an appropriate time to address that.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MS. SISKIND: As Mr. Sullivan raised when the additional witness's name was said during voir dire, we have subpoenaed and do plan on calling Vandana Katju to testify at trial.

And Mr. Kirsch has advised me that the defense objects. Certainly before Ms. Johnson says her name during opening, we should probably figure out what the decision is going to be on this, and I can state for the court, if the court would like to hear, the government's position on the relevance of her testimony.

THE COURT: Go forth.

MS. SISKIND: As Your Honor has already heard from her twice so far, she has intimate familiarity with HSBC-NRI Services, which is the division of the bank through which the defendant had most of the bank accounts that are the subject of this case.

(Brief pause.)

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MS. SISKIND: Ms. Katju is familiar with that part of the bank through which the defendant had many of his undeclared bank accounts.

Now, to sustain our burden of proof on both the false return charges and on the failure to file, the FBAR charges, the government needs to prove that the defendant, in fact, had bank accounts --

THE COURT: Well, let me interrupt and invite the defense to state its objection to -- if any, to this witness being called by the government.

MR. KIRSCH: Oh, yes, Your Honor. We have -- we do have an objection.

Your Honor, this witness, Ms. Katju, was just added to the government's witness list. The government never intended to call her because she has no knowledge of anything the defendant ever did, ever.

She cannot testify to any personal knowledge with respect to the defendant. She's never met him. She's never

talked to him. She's never seen a single document that he --

THE COURT: The real underlying issue is whether or not she can offer relevant testimony.

MR. KIRSCH: Right.

THE COURT: Is it your assertion that she cannot offer any relevant testimony in this case, and that would be the basis upon which you're objecting to her testifying?

MR. KIRSCH: Absolutely. The government, I think, is going --

THE COURT: The objection is overruled.

MR. KIRSCH: Can I make a record, Your Honor?

THE COURT: You made your record. You said it's not relevant. You don't need to go into any further explanation because the court has heard from this witness during the motion phase of this trial. And on that basis, it is clear that this witness can offer relevant testimony.

Now, if there's something specific that you believe she will be called to testify to that is not relevant, then you will be heard.

MR. KIRSCH: I was trying to respond -- what the government just said has dramatically broadened what this witness has testified to before this court.

THE COURT: Well, regardless. The only thing that would bar her from testifying during the course of the trial is her inability to testify to matters that are relevant. If there

1 are, indeed, relevant things that can be -- relevant testimony 2 that she can provide, then she will be allowed to testify. 3 she's asked a question that solicits an answer that is not 4 relevant, you may object. 5 MR. KIRSCH: Yes, Your Honor. 6 THE COURT: All right? 7 Is there anything else? 8 MS. SISKIND: Not from the government, Your Honor. 9 MR. WEBB: No. Nothing else. 10 THE COURT: I will see you tomorrow morning with the 11 understanding that the parties will be recrafting the 12 preliminary description of the matters at issue. 13 The parties will be recrafting the instructions with 14 due regard for the fact that Count 1 is to be dismissed by the 15 government inasmuch as the court has granted the government's 16 motion for leave to dismiss the first count. 17 Is there anything else? 18 MS. SISKIND: 8:30 tomorrow morning, Your Honor? 19 THE COURT: 8:30. 20 MS. SISKIND: Thank you, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: I'll see you tomorrow. 22 (The trial concluded for the day at 5:26 p.m.) 23 24

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN I, JOHN T. SCHINDHELM, RMR, CRR, Official Court Reporter for the United States District Court, Eastern District of Wisconsin, do hereby certify that I reported the foregoing proceedings, and that the same is true and correct in accordance with my original machine shorthand notes taken at said time and place. Dated this 15th day of August, 2012 Milwaukee, Wisconsin. Official Court Reporter United States District Court